

International Journal of Current Research in Science and Technology

# ( $Λ$ ,  $μ$ )-Closed Sets and the Related Notions

Research Article

#### P.Jeyalakshmi<sup>∗</sup>

Department of Mathematics, P. M. Thevar College, Usilampatti, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract: We introduce and study the notions of  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -continuity,  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -irresoluteness,  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -compactness and  $(\Lambda, \mu)$  $\mu$ )-connectedness.

MSC: 54A05.

**Keywords:**  $\mu$ -open set,  $\wedge_{\mu}^*$ -set,  $g_{\mu}$ -open set  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -set,  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -continuity and quasi- $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -irresolute. c JS Publication.

### 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

It is observed that a large number of papers are devoted to the study of generalized open like sets of a topological space containing the class of open sets and possessing properties more or less similar to those of open sets. This paper deals with the notions of  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -sets and  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -closed sets which are defined by utilizing the notions of  $\mu$ -open and  $\mu$ -closed sets. We also introduce and characterize some new low separation axioms. Moreover, we introduce and study the notions of  $(\Lambda, \Lambda)$  $\mu$ )-continuity,  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -irresoluteness,  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -compactness and  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -connectedness.

**Definition 1.1** ([\[3\]](#page-9-0)). Let X be a nonempty set and  $\lambda$  be a collection of subsets of X. Then  $\lambda$  is called a generalized topology (briefly GT) on X iff  $\phi \in \lambda$  and  $G_1 \in \lambda$  for  $i \in I \neq \phi$  implies  $G = \bigcup_{i \in I} G_i \in \lambda$ . We call the pair  $(X, \lambda)$  a generalized topological space (briefly GTS) on X.

The elements of  $\lambda$  are called  $\lambda$ -open sets and the complements are called  $\lambda$ -closed sets. The generalized closure of a subset S of X, denoted by  $c_\lambda(S)$ , is the intersection of  $\lambda$ -closed sets including S. And the interior of S, denoted by  $i_\lambda(S)$ , is the union of  $\lambda$ -open sets contained in S.

**Definition 1.2** ([\[1\]](#page-9-1)). Let  $(X, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \lambda)$  be GTS's. Then a function  $f : (X, \mu) \to (Y, \lambda)$  is said to be  $\mu$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute if the inverse image of every  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -open set in Y is an  $\mu$ - $\alpha$ -open set in X.

**Definition 1.3** ([\[7\]](#page-10-0)). Let  $(X, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \lambda)$  be GTS's. Then a function  $f: (X, \mu) \to (Y, \lambda)$  is said to be  $(\mu, \lambda)$ -open if the image of each  $\mu$ -open set in X is an  $\lambda$ -open set in Y.

**Definition 1.4** ([\[8\]](#page-10-1)). Let A be a subset of a GTS  $(X, \mu)$ . A subset  $\Lambda_{\mu}(A)$  is defined as follows:

$$
\Lambda_{\mu}(A) = \begin{cases}\n\cap \{G : G \in \mu, A \subseteq A\} & \text{if there exists} \quad G \in \mu \text{ and } A \subseteq G, \\
X, & \text{otherwise}\n\end{cases}
$$

<sup>∗</sup> E-mail: <jeyalakshmipitchai@gmail.com>

**Lemma 1.5** ([\[8\]](#page-10-1)). For subsets A, B and  $A_i(i \in I)$  of a GTS  $(X, \mu)$ , the following properties hold:

- (1).  $A \subseteq \Lambda_{\mu}(A);$
- (2). If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\Lambda_{\mu}(A) \subseteq \Lambda_{\mu}(B)$ ;
- (3).  $\Lambda_{\mu}(\Lambda_{\mu}(A)) = \Lambda_{\mu}(A);$
- $(4)$ .  $\Lambda_{\mu}(\cap\{A_i \mid i \in I\}) \subseteq \cap\{\Lambda_{\mu}(A_i) \mid i \in I\};$
- (5).  $\Lambda_{\mu}(\cup \{A_i | i \in I\}) = \cup \{\Lambda_{\mu}(A_i) | i \in I\}.$

**Definition 1.6** ([\[8\]](#page-10-1)). A subset A of a GTS (X,  $\mu$ ) is called a  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -set if  $A = \Lambda_{\mu}(A)$ .

**Lemma 1.7** ([\[8\]](#page-10-1)). For subsets A and  $A_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) of a GTS (X,  $\mu$ ), the following hold:

- (1).  $\Lambda_{\mu}(A)$  is a  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -set.
- (2). If A is  $\mu$ -open, then A is a  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -set.
- (3). If  $A_i$  is a  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -set for each  $i \in I$ , then  $\cap_{i \in I} A_i$  is a  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -set.
- (4). If  $A_i$  is a  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -set for each  $i \in I$ , then  $\cup_{i \in I} A_i$  is a  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -set.

**Definition 1.8** ([\[8\]](#page-10-1)). A subset A of a GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is called  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -closed if  $A = T \cap C$ , where T is a  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -set and C is a μ-closed set. The complement of a  $(Λ, μ)$ -closed set is called a  $(Λ, μ)$ -open set. We shall denote the collection of all  $(Λ, μ)$  $\mu$ )-open sets (resp. (Λ,  $\mu$ )-closed sets) in a GTS (X,  $\mu$ ) by  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -O(X,  $\mu$ ) (resp.  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -C(X,  $\mu$ )).

**Theorem 1.9** ([\[8\]](#page-10-1)). Let A be  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -closed subset of a GTS  $(X, \mu)$ . Then, we have

- (1).  $A = T \cap c_{\mu}(A)$ , where T is a  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -set;
- (2).  $A = \Lambda_{\mu}(A) \cap c_{\mu}(A)$ .

**Lemma 1.10** ([\[8\]](#page-10-1)). For a GTS  $(X, \mu)$ ,

(1). Every  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -set (resp.  $\mu$ -closed set) is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -closed;

(2).  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -C(X,  $\mu$ ) (resp.  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -O(X,  $\mu$ )) is closed under arbitrary intersection (resp. union).

**Definition 1.11** ([\[5\]](#page-9-2)). A subset A of a GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is called a generalized  $\mu$ -closed set (briefly.  $g_{\mu}$ -closed) if  $c_{\mu}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\mu$ -open in  $(X, \mu)$ . A subset A is said to be  $g_{\mu}$ -open if  $X - A$  is  $g_{\mu}$ -closed.

**Definition 1.12** ([\[9\]](#page-10-2)). A GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is called an  $\mu$ -R<sub>0</sub> space if for each  $\mu$ -open set U and each  $x \in U$ ,  $c_{\mu}(\lbrace x \rbrace) \subseteq U$ .

**Definition 1.13** ([\[10\]](#page-10-3)). A GTS (X,  $\mu$ ) is said to be  $\mu$ -T<sub>1</sub> if for any distinct pair of points x and y in X, there is an  $\mu$ -open set U in X containing x but not y and an  $\mu$ -open set V in X containing y but not x.

**Definition 1.14** ([\[8\]](#page-10-1)). Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS and  $A \subseteq X$ . A point  $x \in X$  is called a  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -cluster point of A if for every  $(\Lambda, \mu)$  $\mu$ )-open set U of X containing x we have  $A \cap U = \phi$ . The set of all  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -cluster points is called the  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -closure set of A and is denoted by  $A^{(\Lambda,\mu)}$ .

**Lemma 1.15** ([\[8\]](#page-10-1)). Let A and B be subsets of a GTS  $(X, \mu)$ . For the  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -closure, the following properties hold.

(1).  $A \subseteq A^{(\Lambda,\mu)}$  and  $(A^{(\Lambda,\mu)})^{(\Lambda,\mu)} = A^{(\Lambda,\mu)}$ .

- (2).  $A^{(\Lambda,\mu)} = \bigcap \{F : A \subseteq F \text{ and } F \text{ is } (\Lambda, \mu)\text{-closed}\}.$
- (3). If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $A^{(\Lambda,\mu)} \subseteq B^{(\Lambda,\mu)}$ .
- (4). A is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -closed if and only if  $A = A^{(\Lambda, \mu)}$ .
- (5).  $A^{(\Lambda,\mu)}$  is  $(\Lambda,\mu)$ -closed.

#### Definition 1.16 ([\[8\]](#page-10-1)).

- (1). A subset A of a GTS (X,  $\mu$ ) is called a  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -D set if there are two ( $\Lambda$ ,  $\mu$ )-open sets U, V in X such that  $U \neq X$  and A  $= U - V$ . Observe that a  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open set  $A \neq X$  is  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -D set since  $A = U$  and  $V = \phi$ .
- (2). A GTS (X,  $\mu$ ) is  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -D<sub>0</sub> (resp.  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -D<sub>1</sub>) if for x,  $y \in X$  such that  $x \neq y$  there exists a  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -D set of X containing x but not y or (resp. and) a  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -D set containing y but not x.
- (3). A GTS (X,  $\mu$ ) is  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -D<sub>2</sub> if for x,  $y \in X$  such that  $x \neq y$  there exist disjoint  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -D sets  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  such that  $x \in G_1$  and  $y \in G_2$ .

**Definition 1.17** ([\[8\]](#page-10-1)). A GTS  $(X, \mu)$  satisfies  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -property if for any distinct pair of points in X, there is a  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open set containing one of the points but not the other.

#### Remark 1.18 ([\[7\]](#page-10-0)).

- (1). If  $(X, \mu)$  satisfies  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -property, then  $(X, \mu)$  is  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -D<sub>0</sub>.
- (2). If  $(X, \mu)$  is  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ - $D_i$ , then  $(X, \mu)$  is  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ - $D_{i-1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

**Theorem 1.19** ([\[8\]](#page-10-1)). For a GTS  $(X, \mu)$  the following statements are true.

- (1).  $(X, \mu)$  is  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ - $D_0$  if and only if it satisfies  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -property.
- (2). (X,  $\mu$ ) is  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ - $D_1$  if and only if it is  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ - $D_2$ .

**Definition 1.20** ([\[4\]](#page-9-3)). A GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is said to be  $\mu$ -compact if every  $\mu$ -open cover of X has a finite  $\mu$ -open subcover.

### 2. (Λ,  $\mu$ )-Closed Sets

**Definition 2.1.** A GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is said to be  $\mu$ -Alexandroff space if every point has a minimal neighbourhood or equivalently, has a unique minimal base.

**Theorem 2.2.** For a GTS  $(X, \mu)$ , we put  $\mu^{\Lambda_{\mu}} = \{A: A \text{ is a } \Lambda_{\mu} \text{-set of } X\}$ . Then the pair  $(X, \mu^{\Lambda_{\mu}})$  is an  $\mu$ -Alexandroff space.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $A_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) be a subset of a GTS (X,  $\mu$ ).

(1). If  $A_i$  is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -closed for each  $i \in I$ , then  $\cap \{A_i \mid i \in I\}$  is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -closed.

(2). If  $A_i$  is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open for each  $i \in I$ , then  $\cup \{A_i | i \in I\}$  is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open.

Proof.

- (1). Suppose that  $A_i$  is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -closed for each i  $\in$  I. Then, for each i, there exist a  $\Lambda_\mu$ -set  $T_i$  and an  $\mu$ -closed set  $C_i$  such that  $A_i=T_i\cap C_i$ . We have  $\cap_{i\in I}A_i=\cap_{i\in I}(T_i\cap C_i)=(\cap_{i\in I}T_i)\cap (\cap_{i\in I}C_i)$ . By Lemma 2.7,  $\cap_{i\in I}T_i$  is a  $\Lambda_\mu$ -set and  $\cap_{i\in I}C_i$  is a  $\mu$ -closed. This shows that  $\cap_{i\in I}A_i$  is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -closed.
- (2). Let  $A_i$  be  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open for each i ∈ I. Then  $X A_i$  is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -closed and  $X \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i = \bigcap_{i \in I} (X A_i)$ . Therefore, by (1)  $\Box$  $\cup_{i\in I} A_i$  is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open.

**Definition 2.4.** Let A be a subset of a GTS  $(X, \mu)$ . A set  $\wedge_{\mu}^{*}(A)$  is defined as follows  $\wedge_{\mu}^{*}(A) = \cup \{B : B : \mu_{c}, B \subseteq A\}$ .

**Definition 2.5.** A subset A of a GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is called a  $\wedge^*_{\mu}$ -set if  $A = \wedge^*_{\mu}(A)$ . We obtain the following two lemmas which are similar to Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.7.

**Lemma 2.6.** For subsets A, B and  $A_i (i \in I)$  of a GTS  $(X, \mu)$ , the following properties hold:

- $(1)$ .  $\wedge_{\mu}^*(A) \subseteq A$ .
- (2). If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\wedge_{\mu}^*(A) \subseteq \wedge_{\mu}^*(B)$ .
- (3). If A is  $\mu$ -closed, then  $\wedge_{\mu}^*(A) = A$ .
- $(4)$ .  $\wedge_{\mu}^* \cap \{A_i : i \in I\}) = \cap \{\wedge_{\mu}^* (A_i) : i \in I\}.$
- (5).  $\bigcup \{ \wedge_{\mu}^*(A_i) : i \in I \}$  ⊆  $\wedge_{\mu}^* (\bigcup \{ A_i : i \in I \})$ .
- (6).  $\Lambda_{\mu}(X A) = X \Lambda_{\mu}^{*}(A)$  and  $\Lambda_{\mu}^{*}(X A) = X \Lambda_{\mu}(A)$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** For subsets A, B and  $A_i (i \in I)$  of a GTS  $(X, \mu)$ , the following properties hold:

- (1).  $\wedge_{\mu}^*(A)$  is a  $\wedge_{\mu}^*$ -set.
- (2). If A is  $\mu$ -closed, then A is a  $\wedge_{\mu}^*$ -set.
- (3). If  $A_i$  is a  $\wedge_{\mu}^*$ -set for each  $i \in I$ , then  $\cup \{A_i : i \in I\}$  and  $\cap \{A_i : i \in I\}$  are  $\wedge_{\mu}^*$ -sets.

The following two lemmas are obtained easily from the definitions.

**Lemma 2.8.** For a subset A of a GTS  $(X, \mu)$ , the following properties hold:

- (1). A is  $g_{\mu}$ -closed if and only if  $c_{\mu}(A) \subseteq \Lambda_{\mu}(A)$ .
- (2). A is  $\mu$ -closed if and only if A is  $g_{\mu}$ -closed and  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -closed.

**Lemma 2.9.** For a subset A of a GTS  $(X, \mu)$ , the following properties hold:

- (1). A is  $g_{\mu}$ -open if and only if  $\wedge_{\mu}^*(A) \subseteq i_{\mu}(A)$ .
- (2). A is  $\mu$ -open if and only if A is  $g_{\mu}$ -open and  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open.

**Theorem 2.10.** Let A be a  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open subset of a GTS  $(X, \mu)$ . Then, we have

- (1).  $A = T \cup C$ , where T is a  $\wedge_{\mu}^*$ -set and C is  $\mu$ -open;
- (2).  $A = T \cup i_{\mu}(A)$ , where T is a  $\wedge_{\mu}^*$ -set;

(3).  $A = \wedge_{\mu}^* (A) \cup i_{\mu} (A)$ .

Proof.

- (1). Suppose that A is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open. Then X A is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -closed and X A = K ∩ D, where K is a  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -set and D is a  $\mu$ -closed set. Hence, we have  $A = (X - K) \cup (X - D)$ , where  $X - K$  is a  $\wedge_{\mu}^*$ -set and  $X - D$  is  $\mu$ -open set.
- (2). Since A is an  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open we have  $A = T \cup C$ , where T is an  $\wedge_{\mu}^*$ -set and C is  $\mu$ -open. Also C  $\subseteq$  A and C is  $\mu$ -open, C  $\subseteq i_{\mu}(A)$  and hence  $A = T \cup C \subseteq T \cup i_{\mu}(A) \subseteq A$ . Therefore, we obtain  $A = T \cup i_{\mu}(A)$ .
- (3). Since A is an  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open we have  $A = T \cup i_{\mu}(A)$ , where T is a  $\wedge_{\mu}^*$ -set. Also  $T \subseteq A$ , we have  $\wedge_{\mu}^*(A) \supseteq \wedge_{\mu}^*(T)$  and hence  $A \supseteq \wedge_{\mu}^*(A) \cup i_{\mu}(A) \supseteq \wedge_{\mu}^*(T) \cup i_{\mu}(A) = T \cup i_{\mu}(A) = A$ . Therefore, we obtain  $A = \wedge_{\mu}^*(A) \cup i_{\mu}(A)$ .  $\Box$

**Theorem 2.11.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a  $\mu$ -R<sub>0</sub> space. A singleton  $\{x\}$  is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -closed if and only if  $\{x\}$  is  $\mu$ -closed.

*Proof.* Necessity. Suppose that  $\{x\}$  is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -closed. Then, by Theorem 2.9,  $\{x\} = \Lambda_{\mu}(\{x\}) \cap c_{\mu}(\{x\})$ . For any  $\mu$ -open set U containing x,  $c_{\mu}(\{x\}) \subseteq U$  and hence  $c_{\mu}(\{x\}) \subseteq \Lambda_{\mu}(\{x\})$ . Therefore, we have  $\{x\} = \Lambda_{\mu}(\{x\}) \cap c_{\mu}(\{x\}) \supseteq c_{\mu}(\{x\})$ . This shows that  $\{x\}$  is  $\mu$ -closed.

Sufficiency. Suppose that  $\{x\}$  is  $\mu$ -closed. Since  $\{x\} \subseteq \Lambda_{\mu}(\{x\})$ , we have  $\Lambda_{\mu}(\{x\}) \cap c_{\mu}(\{x\}) = \Lambda_{\mu}(\{x\}) \cap \{x\} = \{x\}$ . This shows that  $\{x\}$  is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -closed.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.12.** A GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is  $\mu$ -T<sub>1</sub> if and only if for each x. X, the singleton  $\{x\}$  is a  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -set.

*Proof.* Necessity. Suppose that  $y \in \Lambda_{\mu}(\{x\})$  for some point y distinct from x. Then  $y \in \Lambda_{\nu}(V_x | x \in V_x)$  and  $V_x$  is  $\mu$ -open and hence  $y \in V_x$  for every  $\mu$ -open set  $V_x$  containing x. This contradicts that  $(X, \mu)$  is an  $\mu$ -T<sub>1</sub>.

Sufficiency. Suppose that  $\{x\}$  is a  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -set for each  $x \in X$ . Let x and y be any distinct points. Then  $y \notin \Lambda_{\mu}(\{x\})$  and there exists an  $\mu$ -open set V<sub>x</sub> such that  $x \in V_x$  and  $y \notin V_x$ . Similarly,  $x \notin \Lambda_{\mu}(\{y\})$  and there exists an  $\mu$ -open set V<sub>y</sub> such that  $y \in V_y$  and  $x \notin V_y$ . This shows that  $(X, \mu)$  is  $\mu$ -T<sub>1</sub>.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.13.** A GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is  $\mu$ -T<sub>1</sub> if and only if  $(X, \mu^{\Lambda_{\mu}})$  is the discrete space.

Proof. Necessity. Suppose that  $(X, \mu)$  is  $\mu$ -T<sub>1</sub>. Let x be any point of X. By Theorem 3.12,  $\{x\}$  is a  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -set and  $\{x\} \in$  $\mu^{\Lambda_{\mu}}$ . For any subset A of X, by Lemma 2.7,  $\Lambda_{\mu}(A) \in \mu^{\Lambda_{\mu}}$ . This shows that  $(X, \mu^{\Lambda_{\mu}})$  is discrete.

Sufficiency. For each  $x \in X$ ,  $\{x\} \in \mu^{\Lambda_{\mu}}$  and hence  $\{x\}$  is  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -set. By Theorem 3.12,  $(X, \mu)$  is  $\mu$ -T<sub>1</sub>.

**Theorem 2.14.** If a function  $f : (X, \mu) \to (Y, \lambda)$  is  $\mu$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute, then  $f : (X, \mu^{\Lambda_{\mu}}) \to (Y, \lambda^{\Lambda_{\lambda}})$  is  $(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous.

Proof. Let V be any  $\Lambda_{\lambda}$ -set of  $(Y, \lambda)$ , i.e.  $V \in \lambda^{\Lambda\lambda}$ . Then  $V = \Lambda_{\lambda}(V) = \cap \{W : V \subseteq W \text{ and } W \text{ is } \lambda$ - $\alpha$ -open in  $(Y, \lambda)\}.$ Since f is  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute, f<sup>-1</sup>(W) is  $\mu$ - $\alpha$ -open in  $(X, \mu)$  for each W. Hence we have f<sup>-1</sup>(V) =  $\cap$ {f<sup>-1</sup>(W) : f<sup>-1</sup>(V)  $\subseteq$  f<sup>-1</sup>(W) and W is  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -open in  $(Y, \lambda)$   $\supseteq \bigcap \{U : f^{-1}(V) \subseteq U \text{ and } U \text{ is } \mu$ -open in  $(X, \mu)\} = \Lambda_{\mu}(f^{-1}(V))$ . On the other hand, by the definition  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq \Lambda_{\mu}(f^{-1}(V))$ . Therefore, we obtain  $f^{-1}(V) = \Lambda_{\mu}(f^{-1}(V))$ . Hence,  $f^{-1}(V) \in \mu^{\Lambda_{\mu}}$  and  $f : (X, \mu) \to (Y, \lambda)$ is  $(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous.  $\Box$ 

## 3. (Λ,  $\mu$ )-Continuous Functions

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS,  $x \in X$  and  $\{x_s, s \in S\}$  be a net of X. We say that the net  $\{x_s, s \in S\}$   $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -converges to x if for each  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open set U containing x there exists an element  $s_0 \in S$  such that  $s \leq s_0$  implies  $x_s \in U$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS and  $A \subseteq X$ . A point  $x \in A^{(\Lambda,\mu)}$  if and only if there exists a net  $\{x_s, s \in S\}$  of A which  $(Λ, μ)$ -converges to x.

 $\Box$ 

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS,  $F = \{F_i : i \in I\}$  be a filterbase of X and  $x \in X$ . We say that the filter base  $F(\Lambda)$ ,  $\mu$ )-converges to x if for each  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open set U containing x there is a member  $F_i \in F$  such that  $F_i \subseteq U$ .

**Definition 3.4.** A function  $f: (X, \mu) \to (Y, \lambda)$  is called  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -continuous if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is a  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open subset of X for every  $\lambda$ -open subset V of Y.

**Theorem 3.5.** For a function  $f : (X, \mu) \to (Y, \lambda)$ , the following statements are equivalent:

- (1). f is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -continuous;
- (2). For each  $x \in X$  and for each open set V of Y containing  $f(x)$  there exists a  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open set U of X containing x and  $f(U) \subseteq V$ :
- (3). For each  $x \in X$  and each filterbase F which  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -converges to x,  $f(F)$  converges to  $f(x)$ .
- (4). For each  $x \in X$  and each net  $\{x_s, s \in S\}$  in X which  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -converges to x, the net  $\{f(x_s), s \in S\}$  of Y converges to  $f(x)$ ∈ Y.

**Definition 3.6.** A function  $f: (X, \mu) \to (Y, \lambda)$  is called  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -irresolute if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is a  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open subset of X for every  $(\Lambda, \lambda)$ -open subset V of Y. Now we have the following result with its proof is obvious.

**Theorem 3.7.** For a function  $f : (X, \mu) \to (Y, \lambda)$ , the following statements are equivalent.

- (1). f is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -irresolute;
- (2).  $f^{-1}(B)$  is a  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -closed subset of X for every  $(\Lambda, \lambda)$ -closed subset B of Y;
- (3). For each  $x \in X$  and for each  $(\Lambda, \lambda)$ -open set V of Y containing  $f(x)$  there exists a  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open set U of X containing x and  $f(U) \subseteq V$ ;
- $(4)$ .  $f(A^{(\Lambda,\lambda)}) \subseteq [f(A)]^{(\Lambda,\lambda)}$  for each subset A of X;
- (5).  $[f^{-1}(B)]^{(\Lambda,\lambda)} \subseteq f^{-1}(B^{(\Lambda,\lambda)})$  for each subset B of Y;
- (6). For each  $x \in X$  and each filterbase F which  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -converges to x,  $f(F)$   $(\Lambda, \lambda)$ -converges to  $f(x)$ ;
- (7). For each  $x \in X$  and each net  $\{x_s, s \in S\}$  in X which  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -converges to x, we have that the net  $\{f(x_s), s \in S\}$  of Y  $(\Lambda, \lambda)$ -converges to  $f(x) \in Y$ .

**Definition 3.8.** A function  $f: (X, \mu) \to (Y, \lambda)$  is called quasi- $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -irresolute if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is a  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open subset of X for every  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -open subset V of Y.

**Theorem 3.9.** For a function  $f : (X, \mu) \to (Y, \lambda)$ , the following statements are equivalent.

- (1). f is quasi-( $\Lambda$ ,  $\mu$ )-irresolute;
- (2). For each  $x \in X$  and for each  $\lambda$ -open set V of Y containing  $f(x)$  there exists a  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open set U of X containing x and  $f(U) \subseteq V;$
- (3). For each  $x \in X$  and each filterbase F which  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -converges to x,  $f(F)$   $\lambda$ -converges to  $f(x)$  (that is, for each  $\mu$ -open set U containing  $f(x)$  there is a member  $F_i \in F$  such that  $F_i \subset U$ ;
- (4). For each  $x \in X$  and each net  $\{x_s, s \in S\}$  in X which  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -converges to x, the net  $\{f(x_s), s \in S\}$  of Y  $\lambda$ -converges to  $f(x) \in Y$  (i.e. for each  $\mu$ -open set U containing  $f(x)$  there exists an element  $s_0 \in S$  such that  $s \geq s_0$  implies  $f(x_s) \in U$ ).

**Theorem 3.10.** For a function  $f : (X, \mu) \to (Y, \lambda)$ , the following statements are true.

- (1). If the function f is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -irresolute, then the function f is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -continuous and quasi- $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -irresolute.
- (2). If the function f is quasi- $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -irresolute, then the function f is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -continuous.
- (3). If the function f is  $\mu$ -irresolute, then the function f is quasi- $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -irresolute.
- (4). If the function f is  $\mu$ -continuous, then the function f is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -continuous.

**Example 3.11.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS such that  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  with  $\mu = \{\phi \mid X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}\$ . Also, the family of all  $\wedge^*_{\mu}$ -sets is {φ, X, {c}, {b}, {b, c}} and the family of all (Λ, μ)-open sets is {φ, X, {a, b}, {a, c}, {b}, {c}, {a}, {b, c}}. We consider the function  $f: X \to X$  defined by  $f(c) = a$  and  $f(a) = f(b) = c$ . We have

- (1). f is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -irresolute, quasi- $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -irresolute and  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -continuous,
- (2). f is not  $\mu$ -irresolute, since if  $x = c$  and  $\{a\}$  is the  $\mu$ -open neighbourhood of  $f(c) = a$  in X, then for every  $\mu$ -open neighbourhood of c in X we have  $f(U) \nsubseteq \{a\}$ , and
- (3). f is not  $(\alpha, \mu)$ -continuous and the proof is similar to that of (2).

**Example 3.12.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS such that  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $\mu = \{\phi, X, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, d\}$ b, d}}. Also, the family of all  $\wedge^*_{\mu}$ -sets is { $\phi$ , X, {c, d}, {a, c, d}, {a, d}, {a, c}, {d}, {c}} and the family of all  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open sets is {φ, X, {a, b}, {b}, {c}, {d}, {b, c, d}, {b, c}, {b, d}, {a, b, c}, {a, b, d}, {a, c, d}, {a, d}, {a, c}, {c, d}}. We consider the function  $f: X \to X$  defined as follows:  $f(a) = d$ ,  $f(b) = c$ ,  $f(c) = d$  and  $f(d) = a$ . The following hold.

- (1). f is not ( $\Lambda$ ,  $\mu$ )-irresolute at the point a since if  $\{d\}$  is the ( $\Lambda$ ,  $\mu$ )-open neighbourhood of  $f(a) = d$  in X, then  $f(U) \nsubseteq \{d\}$ for every  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open neighbourhood of a in X and
- (2). f is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -continuous.

### 4.  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -D Sets and Associated Separation Axioms

**Definition 4.1.** A GTS (X,  $\mu$ ) is called  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -T<sub>1</sub> if for any distinct pair of points x and y in X, there is a ( $\Lambda$ ,  $\mu$ )-open set U in X containing x but not y and a  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open set V in X containing y but not x.

**Definition 4.2.** A GTS (X,  $\mu$ ) is called  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -T<sub>2</sub> if for any distinct pair of points x and y in X, there exist ( $\Lambda$ ,  $\mu$ )-open sets U and V in X containing x and y, respectively, such that  $U \cap V = \phi$ .

**Definition 4.3.** A GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is called  $\mu$ - $\alpha$ -R<sub>0</sub> if for each  $\mu$ - $\alpha$ -open set U and each  $x \in U$ ,  $C_{\alpha}(x) \subseteq U$ .

**Definition 4.4.** A GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is called sober  $(\mu - \alpha)$ - R<sub>0</sub> if  $\bigcap_{x \in X} c_{\mu}(\{x\}) = \phi$ .

**Example 4.5.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS such that  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\mu = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}\$ . Clearly, the singletons  ${a}, {b}$  and  ${c}$  are  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -D sets. Now we have

- (1). (X,  $\mu$ ) is  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ - $T_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2$ ,
- (2).  $(X, \mu)$  is  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ - $D_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2,$  and
- (3).  $(X, \mu)$  is not  $\mu$ - $R_0$ .

**Example 4.6.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS such that  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $\mu = \{\phi, X, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}$ d}}. The singletons  $\{a\}$ ,  $\{b\}$ ,  $\{c\}$  and  $\{d\}$  are  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -D sets. We have

- (1). (X,  $\mu$ ) is not  $\mu$ - $T_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , but satisfies ( $\Lambda$ ,  $\mu$ )-property,
- (2).  $(X, \mu)$  is  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ - $T_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2,$  and
- (3).  $(X, \mu)$  is  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ - $D_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2$ .

**Example 4.7.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS such that  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $\mu = \{\phi, X, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}\}\$ . The family of  $\wedge^*_{\mu}$ -sets is { $\phi$ , X, {c, d}, {d}, {c}} and the family of  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open sets is { $\phi$ , X, {a, b, d}, {a, b, c}, {a, b, d}, {a, b}, {c,  $d\}, \{d\}, \{c\}\}.$  So, we have

- (1). (X,  $\mu$ ) is not  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ - $D_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2$ ,
- (2).  $(X, \mu)$  is not  $\mu$ - $R_0$  and  $\mu$ - $R_1$  and
- (3).  $(X, \mu)$  is sober  $(\mu-\alpha)-R_0$  (i.e.,  $\bigcap_{x\in X} c_{\mu}(\lbrace x \rbrace) = \phi$ ).

**Theorem 4.8.** A GTS  $(X, \mu)$  satisfies  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -property if and only if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X,  $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \mu)} \neq$  $\{y\}^{(\Lambda,\mu)}.$ 

*Proof.* Sufficiency. Suppose that  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \neq y$  and  $\{x\}^{(\Lambda,\mu)} \neq \{y\}^{(\Lambda,\mu)}$ . Let z be a point of X such that  $z \in \{x\}^{(\Lambda,\mu)}$ but  $z \notin {\{y\}}^{(\Lambda,\mu)}$ . We claim that  $x \notin {\{y\}}^{(\Lambda,\mu)}$ . For, if  $x \in {\{y\}}^{(\Lambda,\mu)}$  then  $\{x\}^{(\Lambda,\mu)} \subseteq {\{y\}}^{(\Lambda,\mu)}$ . This contradicts the fact that z  $\notin \{y\}^{(\Lambda,\mu)}$ . Consequently x belongs to the  $(\Lambda,\mu)$ -open set  $[\{y\}^{(\Lambda,\mu)}]$ <sup>c</sup> to which y does not belong.

Necessity. Let  $(X, \mu)$  satisfies  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -property and x, y be any two distinct points of X. There exists a  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open set G containing x or y, say x but not y. Then G<sup>c</sup> is a  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -closed set which does not contain x but contains y. Since  $\{y\}^{(\Lambda, \mu)}$ is the smallest  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -closed set containing y (Lemma 2.15(2)),  $\{y\}^{(\Lambda, \mu)} \subseteq G^c$  and so  $x \notin \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \mu)}$ . Therefore  $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \mu)} \neq$  $\{y\}^{(\Lambda,\mu)}.$  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 4.9.** A GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -T<sub>1</sub> if and only if the singletons are  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -closed sets.

*Proof.* Suppose  $(X, \mu)$  is  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -T<sub>1</sub> and x be any point of X. Let  $y \in \{x\}^c$ . Then  $x \neq y$  and so there exists a  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open set U<sub>y</sub> such that  $y \in U_y$  but  $x \notin U_y$ . Consequently  $y \in U_y \subseteq \{x\}^c$  i.e.,  $\{x\}^c = \bigcup \{U_y \mid y \in \{x\}^c\}$  which is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open.

To prove the converse, suppose  $\{p\}$  is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -closed for every  $p \in X$ . Let  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ . Now  $x \neq y$  implies  $y \in \{x\}^c$ . Hence  $\{x\}^c$  is a  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open set containing y but not containing x. Similarly  $\{y\}^c$  is a  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open set containing x but not containing y. This means that X is a  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -T<sub>1</sub> space.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 4.10.** If  $f: (X, \mu) \to (Y, \lambda)$  is a  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -irresolute surjective function and E is a  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -D set in Y, then the inverse image of E is a  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -D set in X.

Proof. Let E be a  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -D set in Y. Then there are  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open sets U<sub>1</sub> and U<sub>2</sub> in Y such that  $S = U_1 - U_2$  and  $U_1 \neq Y$ . By the  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -irresoluteness of f, f<sup>-1</sup>(U<sub>1</sub>) and f<sup>-1</sup>(U<sub>2</sub>) are  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open in X. Since U<sub>1</sub>  $\neq$  Y, we have f<sup>-1</sup>(U<sub>1</sub>)  $\neq$  X. Hence  $f^{-1}(E) = f^{-1}(U_1) - f^{-1}(U_2)$  is a  $\Lambda_\mu$ -D set in X.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 4.11.** If  $(Y, \lambda)$  is  $\Lambda_{\lambda}$ -D<sub>1</sub> and f:  $(X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$  is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -irresolute and bijective, then  $(X, \mu)$  is  $\Lambda_{\lambda}$ -D<sub>1</sub>.

*Proof.* Suppose that Y is a  $\Lambda_{\lambda}$ -D<sub>1</sub> space. Let x and y be any pair of distinct points in X. Since f is injective and Y is  $\Lambda_{\lambda}$ -D<sub>1</sub>, there exist  $\Lambda_{\lambda}$ -D sets G<sub>x</sub> and G<sub>y</sub> of Y containing f(x) and f(y) respectively, such that f(y)  $\notin G_x$  and f(x)  $\notin G_y$ . By Theorem 5.10,  $f^{-1}(G_x)$  and  $f^{-1}(G_y)$  are  $\Lambda_{\lambda}$ -D sets in X containing x and y respectively. This implies that X is a  $\Lambda_{\lambda}$ -D<sub>1</sub>  $\Box$ space.

**Theorem 4.12.** A GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -D<sub>1</sub> if and only if for each pair of distinct points x,  $y \in X$ , there exists a  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -irresolute surjective function  $f: (X, \mu) \to (Y, \lambda)$ , where Y is a  $\Lambda_{\lambda}$ -D<sub>1</sub> space such that  $f(x)$  and  $f(y)$  are distinct.

Proof. Necessity. For every pair of distinct points of X, it suffices to take the identity function on X.

Sufficiency. Let x and y be any pair of distinct points in X. By hypothesis, there exists a  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -irresolute, surjective function f of a space X onto a  $\Lambda_{\lambda}$ -D<sub>1</sub> space Y such that  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ . Therefore, there exist disjoint  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -D sets G<sub>x</sub> and G<sub>y</sub> in Y such that  $f(x) \in G_x$  and  $f(y) \in G_y$ . Since f is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -irresolute and surjective, by Theorem 5.10,  $f^{-1}(G_x)$  and  $f^{-1}(G_y)$  are disjoint  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -D sets in X containing x and y, respectively. Hence by Theorem 2.21(2) X is  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -D<sub>1</sub> space.  $\Box$ 

### 5. (Λ,  $\mu$ )-Compactness and (Λ,  $\mu$ )-Connectedness

**Definition 5.1.** A GTS (X,  $\mu$ ) is said to be ( $\Lambda$ ,  $\mu$ )-compact if every cover of X by ( $\Lambda$ ,  $\mu$ )-open sets of (X,  $\mu$ ) has a finite subcover.

**Theorem 5.2.** A GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -compact if and only if for every family  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  of  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -closed sets in X satisfying  $\cap\{A_i : i \in I\} = \emptyset$ , there is a finite subfamily  $A_{i1},...,A_{in}$  with  $\cap\{A_{ik} : k = 1, ..., n\} = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 5.3.** For a GTS  $(X, \mu)$ , the following properties hold.

- (1). If  $(X, \mu^{\Lambda_{\mu}})$  is compact, then  $(X, \mu)$  is  $\mu$ -compact.
- (2). If  $(X, \mu)$  is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -compact, then  $(X, \mu)$  is  $\mu$ -compact.
- (3). If  $(X, \mu)$  is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -compact, then  $(X, \wedge_{\mu}^*)$  is compact.

Proof.

- (1). Let  $\{V_\mu : \mu \in \nabla\}$  be any  $\mu$ -open cover of X. By Lemma 2.7, every  $\mu$ -open  $V_\mu$  is a  $\Lambda_\mu$ -set for each  $\mu \in \nabla$ . Moreover, by the compactness of  $(X, \mu^{\Lambda_{\mu}})$  there exists a finite subset  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that  $X = \bigcup \{V_{\mu} \mid \mu \in \nabla_0\}$ . This shows that  $(X, \mu)$  is  $\mu$ -compact.
- (2). Let  $\{F_\mu \mid \mu \in \nabla\}$  be a family of  $\mu$ -closed sets of  $(X, \mu)$  such that  $\cap \{F_\mu \mid \mu \in \nabla\} = \phi$ . Every  $\mu$ -closed is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -closed for each  $\mu \in \nabla$ . By Theorem 6.2, there exists a finite subset  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that  $\cap {\{F_\mu \mid \mu \in \nabla_0\}} = \phi$ . It follows from [[2], Theorem 2.17] that  $(X, \mu)$  is  $\mu$ -compact.
- (3). Let  $\{V_{\mu} \mid \mu \in \nabla\}$  be a cover of X by  $\wedge_{\mu}^*$ -sets of  $(X, \mu)$ . Since  $V_{\mu} = V_{\mu} \cup \phi$  and the empty set is  $\mu$ -open, by Lemma 2.7 each  $V\mu$  is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open in  $(X, \mu)$ . Since  $(X, \mu)$  is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -compact, there exists a finite subset  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that X  $= \bigcup \{ V_{\mu} \mid \mu \in \nabla_0 \}.$  This shows that  $(X, \wedge_{\mu}^*)$  is compact.  $\Box$

Corollary 5.4. If  $(X, \mu)$  is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -compact, then  $(X, \mu)$  is compact.

The following example shows that the converse of Corollary 6.4 does not hold.

Example 5.5. Let I be an infinite space and let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS such that  $X = \{a\} \cup \{a_i : i \in I\}$  and  $\mu = \{\phi, X, \{a\}\}\$ . Clearly, the space  $(X, \mu)$  is compact but it is not  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -compact.

**Theorem 5.6.** If  $f : (X, \mu) \to (Y, \lambda)$  is a  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -irresolute surjection and  $(X, \mu)$  is a  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -compact space, then  $(Y, \lambda)$ is  $(\Lambda, \lambda)$ -compact.

Proof. Let  $\{V_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \nabla\}$  be any cover of Y by  $(\Lambda, \lambda)$ -open sets of  $(Y, \lambda)$ . Since f is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -irresolute, by Theorem 5.8  $\{f^{-1}(V_\mu) \mid \mu \in \nabla\}$  is a cover of X by  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open sets of  $(X, \mu)$ . Thus, there exists a finite subset  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that  $X = \bigcup \{f^{-1}(V_{\mu}) \mid \mu \in \nabla_0\}.$  Since f is surjective, we obtain  $Y = f(X) = \bigcup \{V_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \nabla_0\}.$  This shows that  $(Y, \lambda)$  is  $(\Lambda, \lambda)$  $\lambda$ )-compact.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 5.7.** A GTS (X,  $\mu$ ) is called ( $\Lambda$ ,  $\mu$ )-connected (resp.  $\mu$ - $\alpha$ -connected) if X cannot be written as a disjoint union of two non-empty  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open (resp.  $\mu$ - $\alpha$ -open) sets.

The proof of the following theorem is straightforward and therefore is omitted.

**Theorem 5.8.** Every  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -connected space is  $\mu$ - $\alpha$ -connected space.

The following example shows that  $\mu$ -connectedness does not imply  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -connectedness.

**Example 5.9.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS such that  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\mu = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}\$ . We have

- (1).  $(X, \mu)$  is  $\mu$ -connected and  $\mu$ - $\alpha$ -connected, and
- (2).  $(X, \mu)$  is not  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -connected.

**Theorem 5.10.** For a GTS  $(X, \mu)$ , the following statements are equivalent.

- (1).  $(X, \mu)$  is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -connected;
- (2). The only subsets of X, which are both  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -open and  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -closed are the empty set  $\phi$  and X.

**Theorem 5.11.** If a GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -connected, then  $(X, \mu^{\Lambda_{\mu}})$  is connected.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(X, \mu^{\Lambda_{\mu}})$  is not connected. There exist nonempty  $\Lambda_{\mu}$ -sets G, H of  $(X, \mu)$  such that G  $\cap$  H =  $\phi$  and  $G \cup H = X$ . By Lemma 2.10, G and H are  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -closed sets. This shows that  $(X, \mu)$  is not  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -connected.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 5.12.** If f:  $(X, \mu) \to (Y, \lambda)$  is a  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -irresolute surjection and  $(X, \mu)$  is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$ -connected, then  $(Y, \lambda)$  is  $(\Lambda, \mu)$  $\lambda$ )-connected.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(Y, \lambda)$  is not  $(\Lambda, \lambda)$ -connected. There exist nonempty  $(\Lambda, \lambda)$ -open sets G, H of Y such that G  $\cap$  H =  $\phi$  and  $G \cup H = Y$ . Then we have  $f^{-1}(G) \cap f^{-1}(H) = \phi$  and  $f^{-1}(G) \cup f^{-1}(H) = X$ . Moreover,  $f^{-1}(G)$  and  $f^{-1}(H)$  are nonempty (Λ, μ)-open sets of  $(X, \mu)$ . This shows that  $(X, \mu)$  is not  $(Λ, \mu)$ -connected. Therefore,  $(Y, λ)$  is  $(Λ, λ)$ -connected.  $\Box$ 

#### References

- <span id="page-9-1"></span>[1] S.-Z. Bai and Y.-P. Zuo, On g-α-irresolute functions, Acta Math. Hungar., 130(4)(2011), 382-389.
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>[2] A.Csaszar,  $\gamma$ -connected sets, Acta Math. Hungar., 101(4)(2003), 273-279.
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>[3] A.Csaszar, Generalized topology, generalized continuity, Acta Math. Hungar., 96(4)(2002), 351-357.
- [4] Jyothis Thomas and Sunil Jacob John,  $\mu$ -compactness in generalized topological spaces, Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology, 3(3)(2012), 18-22.
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>[5] S.Maragathavalli, M.Sheik John and D.Sivaraj, On g-closed sets in generalized topological spaces, Journal of Advanced Research in Pure Mathematics, 2(1)(2010), 57-64.
- [6] W.K. Min, Almost continuity on generalized topological spaces, Acta Math. Hungar., 125(1-2)(2009), 121-125.
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>[7] W.K.Min, Some results on generalized topological spaces and generalized systems, Acta Math. Hungar., 108(1-2)(2005), 171-181.
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>[8] B.Roy and Erdal Ekici, On (Λ, )-closed sets in generalized topological spaces, Method of Functional Analysis and Topology, 17(2)(2011), 174-179.
- <span id="page-10-3"></span><span id="page-10-2"></span>[9] B.Roy, On generalized R0 and R1 spaces, Acta Math. Hungar., 127(3)(2010), 291-300.
- [10] B.Roy, On a type of generalized open sets, Applied General topology,  $12(2)(2011)$ , 163-173.