



# Fixed Point Theorems in Generalized Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces by Occasionally Weakly Compatible Maps

Research Article

G.Balasubramanian<sup>1</sup>, M.Jeyaraman<sup>2</sup> and M.Rajeswari<sup>3\*</sup>

1 Department of Mathematics, Government Arts and Science College (Men), Krishnagiri, India.

2 PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Raja Dorai Singam Government Arts College, Sivagangai, India.

3 3Department of Mathematics, M.G.R.College, Hosur, India.

**Abstract:** In this paper we proved fixed point theorems in generalized intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces by using occasionally weakly compatible maps.

**MSC:** 47H10, 54H25.

**Keywords:** Fixed point, Generalized intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Occasionally weakly compatible maps.

© JS Publication.

## 1. Introduction

The concept of fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh [12] following the concept of fuzzy sets, fuzzy metric spaces have been introduced by Kramosil and Michlek [4] and George and Veeramani [3] modified the notion of fuzzy metric spaces with the help of continuous t-norms. As a generalization of fuzzy sets, Atanassove [2] introduced and studied the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Park [6] using the idea of intuitionistic fuzzy sets defined the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces with the help of continuous t-norm and continuous t-conorms as a generalized of fuzzy metric spaces, George and Veeramani [3] showed that every metric induces an intuitionistic fuzzy metric, every fuzzy metric space in an intuitionsitic fuzzy metric space. The concept of compatible maps introduced by Kramosil and Michalek [4] and weakly compatible maps in fuzzy metric space is generalized by A. Al. Thagafi and Nasser Shahzad [9] by introducing the concept of occasionally weakly compatible mappings (OWC). In this paper we have proved fixed point theorems in generalized intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces by using occasionally weakly compatible maps.

## 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1.** A binary operation  $*$  :  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  is a continuous t-norm if it satisfies the following condition.

(1).  $*$  is associative and commutative,

(2).  $*$  is continuous,

\* E-mail: [rajimaths1980@gmail.com](mailto:rajimaths1980@gmail.com)

(3).  $a * 1 = a$  for all  $a \in [0, 1]$ ,

(4).  $a * b < c * d$  whenever  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d$  for each  $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$ .

Two typical example of a continuous t-norm are  $a * b = ab$  and  $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** A binary operation  $\diamond : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow [0, 1]$  is a continuous t-conorm if it satisfies the following conditions:

(1).  $\diamond$  is associative and commutative,

(2).  $\diamond$  is continuous,

(3).  $a \diamond 0 = a$  for all  $a \in [0, 1]$ ,

(4).  $a \diamond b \leq c \diamond d$  whenever  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d$ , for each  $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$ .

Two typical examples of a continuous t-conorm are  $a \diamond b = \min\{1, a + b\}$  and  $a \diamond b = \max\{a, b\}$ .

**Definition 2.3.** A 5-tuple  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, *, \diamond)$  is called an generalized intuitionistic fuzzy metric space if  $X$  is an arbitrary (non-empty) set,  $*$  is a continuous t-norm, a continuous t-conorm and  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$  are fuzzy sets on  $X^3 \times (0, \infty)$ , satisfying the following conditions:

For each  $x, y, z, a \in X$  and  $t, s > 0$ .

(a)  $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) + \mathcal{N}(x, y, z, t) \leq 1$ ,

(b)  $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) > 0$ ,

(c)  $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = 1$  if and only if  $x = y = z$ ,

(d)  $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = \mathcal{M}(p\{x, y, z\}, t)$ , where  $p$  is a permutation function,

(e)  $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, a, t) * \mathcal{M}(a, z, z, s) \leq \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t + s)$ ,

(f)  $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is continuous,

(g)  $\mathcal{N}(x, y, z, t) > 0$ ,

(h)  $\mathcal{N}(x, y, z, t) = 0$ , if and only if  $x = y = z$ ,

(i)  $\mathcal{N}(x, y, z, t) = \mathcal{N}(p\{x, y, z\}, t)$  where  $p$  is a permutation function,

(j)  $\mathcal{N}(x, y, z, a, t) \diamond \mathcal{N}(a, z, z, s) \geq \mathcal{N}(x, y, z, t + s)$ ,

(k)  $\mathcal{N}(x, y, z) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is continuous.

Then  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$  is called an generalized intuitionistic fuzzy metric on  $X$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, *, \diamond)$  be an generalized intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Then  $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(x, y, z, t)$  are non-decreasing with respect to  $t$ , for all  $x, y, z$  in  $X$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, *, \diamond)$  be a generalized intuitionistic fuzzy metric space and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$ .

(a).  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be converges to a point  $x \in X$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x, x, x_n, t) = 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N}(x, x, x_n, t) = 0$  for all  $t > 0$ .

(b).  $\{x_n\}$  is called Cauchy sequence if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x_{n+P}, x_{n+P}, x_n, t) = 1$  and  $\mathcal{N}(x_{n+P}, x_{n+P}, x_n, t) = 0$  for all  $t > 0$  and  $P > 0$ .

(c). A Intuitionistic fuzzy metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is said to be complete.

**Definition 2.6.** Two self mappings  $f$  and  $g$  of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, *, \diamond)$  are called compatible if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(fgx_n, gfx_n, gfx_n, t) = 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N}(fgx_n, gfx_n, gfx_n, t) = 0$ , whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = x$ , for some  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 2.7.** Two self maps  $A$  and  $B$  are called occasionally weakly compatible if there is point  $x \in X$  which is a coincidence point of  $A$  and  $B$  at which  $A$  and  $B$  commute.

**Lemma 2.8.** Let  $X$  be a set  $A$  and  $B$  OWC self maps of  $X$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  have a unique point of coincidence  $w = Ax = Bx$ , then  $w$  is the unique common fixed point of  $A$  and  $B$ .

### 3. Complete Generalized Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, *, \diamond)$  be the complete generalized intuitionistic fuzzy metric space and let  $A, B, S, T$  be self mapping of  $X$ . Let the pairs  $(A, S)$  and  $(B, T)$  be OWC and  $k > 1$ , then

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(Ax, By, By, kt) &\leq \min \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\left( \begin{aligned} &\mathcal{M}(Sx, Ty, Ty, t), \mathcal{M}(Sx, Ax, Ax, t), \mathcal{M}(Ty, By, By, t), \\ &\mathcal{M}(Sx, By, By, t), \mathcal{M}(Ty, Ax, Ax, t) \end{aligned} \right) \\ &\frac{(a \mathcal{M}(Ax, Ty, Ty, t) + b \mathcal{M}(By, Sx, Sx, t) + c \mathcal{M}(Sx, Ty, Ty, t))}{\frac{a+b+c}{2} \cdot \frac{1+\mathcal{M}(Ax, Sx, Sx, t)}}{2}} \end{aligned} \right\} \\ \mathcal{N}(Ax, By, By, kt) &\geq \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\left( \begin{aligned} &\mathcal{N}(Sx, Ty, Ty, t), \mathcal{N}(Sx, Ax, Ax, t), \mathcal{N}(Ty, By, By, t), \\ &\mathcal{N}(Sx, By, By, t), \mathcal{N}(Ty, Ax, Ax, t) \end{aligned} \right) \\ &\frac{(a \mathcal{N}(Ax, Ty, Ty, t) + b \mathcal{N}(By, Sx, Sx, t) + c \mathcal{N}(Sx, Ty, Ty, t))}{\frac{a+b+c}{2} \cdot \frac{1+\mathcal{N}(Ax, Sx, Sx, t)}}{2}} \end{aligned} \right\} \end{aligned} \right. \quad (1)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$  such that  $Aw = Sw = w$  and a unique point  $z \in X$  such that  $Bz = Tz = z$ . Moreover  $z = w$ , so that there is a unique common fixed point of  $A, B, S$  and  $T$ .

*Proof.* Let the pairs  $(A, S)$  and  $(B, T)$  are OWC so there are points  $x, y \in X$  such that  $Ax = Sx$  and  $By = Ty$ , We claim that  $Ax = By$ . If not then by inequality (1)

$$\mathcal{M}(Ax, By, By, kt) \leq \min \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\left( \begin{aligned} &\mathcal{M}(Sx, Ty, Ty, t), \mathcal{M}(Sx, Ax, Ax, t), \mathcal{M}(Ty, By, By, t), \\ &\mathcal{M}(Sx, By, By, t), \mathcal{M}(Ty, Ax, Ax, t) \end{aligned} \right) \\ &\frac{(a \mathcal{M}(Ax, Ty, Ty, t) + b \mathcal{M}(By, Sx, Sx, t) + c \mathcal{M}(Sx, Ty, Ty, t))}{\frac{a+b+c}{2} \cdot \frac{1+\mathcal{M}(Ax, Sx, Sx, t)}}{2}} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(Ax, By, By, kt) \leq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(Ax, Ty, Ty, t), 1, 1, \mathcal{M}(Ax, By, By, t), \mathcal{M}(By, Ax, Ax, t), 1 \}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(Ax, By, By, kt) \leq \mathcal{M}(Ax, By, By, t) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathcal{N}(Ax, By, By, kt) \geq \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\left( \begin{aligned} &\mathcal{N}(Sx, Ty, Ty, t), \mathcal{N}(Sx, Ax, Ax, t), \mathcal{N}(Ty, By, By, t), \\ &\mathcal{N}(Sx, By, By, t), \mathcal{N}(Ty, Ax, Ax, t) \end{aligned} \right) \\ &\frac{(a \mathcal{N}(Ax, Ty, Ty, t) + b \mathcal{N}(By, Sx, Sx, t) + c \mathcal{N}(Sx, Ty, Ty, t))}{\frac{a+b+c}{2} \cdot \frac{1+\mathcal{N}(Ax, Sx, Sx, t)}}{2}} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{N}(Ax, By, By, kt) \geq \max\{\mathcal{N}(Ax, Ty, Ty, t), 0, 0, \mathcal{N}(Ax, By, By, t), \mathcal{N}(By, Ax, Ax, t), 0\}$$

$$\mathcal{N}(Ax, By, By, kt) \geq \mathcal{N}(Ax, By, By, t).$$

Then by Lemma 2.8,  $Ax = By$ . Suppose that there is another point  $z$  such that  $Az = Sz$ . Then by inequality (1), we have  $Az = Sz = By = Ty$  so  $Ax = Az$  and  $w = Ax = Sz$  is the unique point of coincidence of A and S. By Lemma 2.8,  $w$  is the only common point of A and S. Similarly, there is a unique point  $z \in X$  such that  $z = Bz = Tz$ . Assume that  $w \neq z$ , then by (1),  $\mathcal{M}(w, z, z, kt) = \mathcal{M}(Aw, Bz, Bz, kt)$

$$\mathcal{M}(Ax, By, By, kt) \leq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{M}(Sx, Ty, Ty, t), \mathcal{M}(Sx, Ax, Ax, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(Ty, By, By, t), \mathcal{M}(Ty, Ax, Ax, t) \end{array} \right) \\ \frac{(a \mathcal{M}(Ax, Ty, Ty, t) + b \mathcal{M}(By, Sx, Sx, t) + c \mathcal{M}(Sx, Ty, Ty, t))}{a+b+c} \\ \cdot \frac{1+\mathcal{M}(Ax, Sx, Sx, t)}{2} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(Aw, Bz, Bz, kt) \leq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{M}(Sw, Tz, Tz, t), \mathcal{M}(Sw, Aw, Aw, t), \mathcal{M}(Tz, Bz, Bz, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(Sw, Bz, Bz, t), \mathcal{M}(Tz, Aw, Aw, t) \end{array} \right) \\ \frac{(a \mathcal{M}(Aw, Tz, Tz, t) + b \mathcal{M}(Bz, Sw, Sw, t) + c \mathcal{M}(Sw, Tz, Tz, t))}{a+b+c} \\ \cdot \frac{1+\mathcal{M}(Aw, Sw, Sw, t)}{2} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(w, z, z, kt) \leq \min\{\mathcal{M}(w, z, z, t), 1, 1, \mathcal{M}(Aw, Bz, Bz, t), \mathcal{M}(Bz, Aw, Aw, t), 1\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(w, z, z, kt) \leq \mathcal{M}(w, z, z, t) \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(w, z, z, kt) = \mathcal{N}(Aw, Bz, Bz, kt)$$

$$\mathcal{N}(Ax, By, By, kt) \geq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{N}(Sx, Ty, Ty, t), \mathcal{N}(Sx, Ax, Ax, t), \\ \mathcal{N}(Ty, By, By, t), \mathcal{N}(Ty, Ax, Ax, t) \end{array} \right) \\ \frac{(a \mathcal{N}(Ax, Ty, Ty, t) + b \mathcal{N}(By, Sx, Sx, t) + c \mathcal{N}(Sx, Ty, Ty, t))}{a+b+c} \\ \cdot \frac{1+\mathcal{N}(Ax, Sx, Sx, t)}{2} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{N}(Aw, Bz, Bz, kt) \geq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{N}(Sw, Tz, Tz, t), \mathcal{N}(Sw, Aw, Aw, t), \mathcal{N}(Tz, Bz, Bz, t), \\ \mathcal{N}(Sw, Bz, Bz, t), \mathcal{N}(Tz, Aw, Aw, t) \end{array} \right) \\ \frac{(a \mathcal{N}(Aw, Tz, Tz, t) + b \mathcal{N}(Bz, Sw, Sw, t) + c \mathcal{N}(Sw, Tz, Tz, t))}{a+b+c} \\ \cdot \frac{1+\mathcal{N}(Aw, Sw, Sw, t)}{2} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{N}(w, z, z, kt) \geq \max\{\mathcal{N}(w, z, z, t), 0, 0, \mathcal{N}(Aw, Bz, Bz, t), \mathcal{N}(Bz, Aw, Aw, t), 0\}$$

$$\mathcal{N}(w, z, z, kt) \geq \mathcal{N}(w, z, z, t).$$

Then by Lemma 2.8. Therefore  $w = z$ ,  $z$  is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

**Uniqueness:** Let  $u$  be another common fixed point of A, B, S and T. Then put  $x = z$  and  $y = u$  in (1),

$$\mathcal{M}(Az, Bu, Bu, kt) \leq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{M}(Sz, Tu, Tu, t), \mathcal{M}(Sz, Az, Az, t), \mathcal{M}(Tu, Bz, Bz, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(Sz, Bu, Bu, t), \mathcal{M}(Tu, Az, Az, t) \end{array} \right) \\ \frac{(a \mathcal{M}(Az, Tu, Tu, t) + b \mathcal{M}(Bu, Sz, Sz, t) + c \mathcal{M}(Sz, Tu, Tu, t))}{a+b+c} \\ \cdot \frac{1+\mathcal{M}(Az, Sz, Sz, t)}{2} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(z, u, u, kt) \leq \min\{\mathcal{M}(z, u, u, t), 1, 1, \mathcal{M}(z, u, u, t), \mathcal{M}(u, z, z, t), 1\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(Az, Bu, Bu, kt) \leq \mathcal{M}(z, u, u, t) \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{N}(Az, Bu, Bu, kt) \geq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{N}(Sz, Tu, Tu, t), \mathcal{N}(Sz, Az, Az, t), \mathcal{N}(Tu, Bz, Bz, t), \\ \mathcal{N}(Sz, Bu, Bu, t), \mathcal{N}(Tu, Az, Az, t) \end{array} \right) \\ \frac{(a \mathcal{N}(Az, Tu, Tu, t) + b \mathcal{N}(Bu, Sz, Sz, t) + c \mathcal{N}(Sz, Tu, Tu, t))}{\frac{a+b+c}{2} \cdot \frac{1+\mathcal{N}(Az, Sz, Sz, t)}} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{N}(z, u, u, kt) \geq \max \{ \mathcal{N}(z, u, u, t), 0, 0, \mathcal{N}(z, u, u, t), \mathcal{N}(u, z, z, t), 0 \}$$

$\mathcal{N}(Az, Bu, Bu, kt) \geq \mathcal{N}(z, u, u, t)$ . Then by Lemma 2.8,  $z = u$ . □

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, *, \diamond)$  be the complete generalized intuitionistic fuzzy metric space and let  $A, B, S, T$  be self mapping of  $X$ . Let the pairs  $(A, S)$  and  $(B, T)$  be OWC and  $k > 1$  and  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ , then

$$\mathcal{M}(Ax, By, By, kt) \leq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M}(Sx, Ty, Ty, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(Sx, Ax, Ax, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(Ty, By, By, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(Sx, By, By, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(Ty, Ax, Ax, t), \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha \mathcal{M}(Sx, By, By, t) + \\ \beta \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M}(By, Ty, Ty, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(Sx, Ax, Ax, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(Sx, Ty, Ty, t) \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{N}(Ax, By, By, kt) \geq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{N}(Sx, Ty, Ty, t), \\ \mathcal{N}(Sx, Ax, Ax, t), \\ \mathcal{N}(Ty, By, By, t), \\ \mathcal{N}(Sx, By, By, t), \\ \mathcal{N}(Ty, Ax, Ax, t), \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha \mathcal{N}(Sx, By, By, t) + \\ \beta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{N}(By, Ty, Ty, t), \\ \mathcal{N}(Sx, Ax, Ax, t), \\ \mathcal{N}(Sx, Ty, Ty, t) \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right\} \tag{2}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$  such that  $Aw = Sw = w$  and a unique point  $z \in X$  such that  $Bz = Tz = z$ . Moreover  $z = w$ , so that there is unique fixed point of  $A, B, S$  and  $T$

*Proof.* Let the pairs  $(A, S)$  and  $(B, T)$  are OWC so there are points  $x, y \in X$  such that  $Ax = Sx$  and  $By = Ty$ . We claim that  $Ax = By$ . If not then by inequality (2),

$$\mathcal{M}(Ax, By, By, kt) \leq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M}(Ax, By, By, t), \mathcal{M}(Ax, Ax, Ax, t), \mathcal{M}(By, By, By, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(Ax, By, By, t), \mathcal{M}(By, Ax, Ax, t), \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha \mathcal{M}(Sx, By, By, t) + \beta \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M}(By, By, By, t), \mathcal{M}(Ax, Ax, Ax, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(Ax, By, By, t) \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(Ax, By, By, kt) \leq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M}(Ax, By, By, t), 1, 1, \mathcal{M}(Ax, By, By, t), \mathcal{M}(By, Ax, Ax, t), \\ \alpha \mathcal{M}(Ax, By, By, t) + \beta \min \{1, 1, \mathcal{M}(Ax, By, By, t)\} \end{array} \right\}$$

$\mathcal{M}(Ax, By, By, kt) \leq \mathcal{M}(Ax, By, By, t)$  and

$$\mathcal{N}(Ax, By, By, kt) \geq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{N}(Ax, By, By, t), \mathcal{N}(Ax, Ax, Ax, t), \mathcal{N}(By, By, By, t), \\ \mathcal{N}(Ax, By, By, t), \mathcal{N}(By, Ax, Ax, t), \\ \left\{ \alpha \mathcal{N}(Sx, By, By, t) + \beta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{N}(By, By, By, t), \mathcal{N}(Ax, Ax, Ax, t), \\ \mathcal{N}(Ax, By, By, t) \end{array} \right\} \right\} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{N}(Ax, By, By, kt) \geq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{N}(Ax, By, By, t), 0, 0, \mathcal{N}(Ax, By, By, t), \mathcal{N}(By, Ax, Ax, t), \\ \alpha \mathcal{N}(Ax, By, By, t) + \beta \max \{0, 0, \mathcal{N}(Ax, By, By, t)\} \end{array} \right\}$$

$\mathcal{N}(Ax, By, By, kt) \geq \mathcal{N}(Ax, By, By, t)$ . Then by Lemma 2.8,  $Ax = By$ . Suppose that there is another point  $z$  such that  $Az = Sz$ . Then by inequality (2), we have  $Az = Sz = By = Ty$ , So,  $Ax = Az$  and  $w = Ax = Sx$  is the unique point of coincidence of  $A$  and  $S$ . By Lemma 2.8,  $w$  is the only common point of  $A$  and  $S$ . Similarly there is a unique point  $z \in X$  such that  $z = Bz = Tz$ . Assume that  $w \neq z$ , then by (2),  $\mathcal{M}(w, z, z, kt) = \mathcal{M}(Aw, Bz, Bz, kt)$

$$\mathcal{M}(Ax, By, By, kt) \leq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M}(Sx, Ty, Ty, t), \mathcal{M}(Sx, Ax, Ax, t), \mathcal{M}(Ty, By, By, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(Sx, By, By, t), \mathcal{M}(Ty, Ax, Ax, t), \\ \left\{ a \mathcal{M}(Ax, By, t) + \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M}(By, Ty, Ty, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(Sx, Ax, Ax, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(Sx, Ty, Ty, t) \end{array} \right\} \right\} \end{array} \right\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{N}(w, z, z, kt) = \mathcal{N}(Aw, Bz, Bz, kt)$$

$$\mathcal{N}(Ax, By, By, kt) \geq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{N}(Sx, Ty, Ty, t), \mathcal{N}(Sx, Ax, Ax, t), \mathcal{N}(Ty, By, By, t), \\ \mathcal{N}(Sx, By, By, t), \mathcal{N}(Ty, Ax, Ax, t), \\ \left\{ a \mathcal{N}(Ax, By, t) + \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{N}(By, Ty, Ty, t), \\ \mathcal{N}(Sx, Ax, Ax, t), \\ \mathcal{N}(Sx, Ty, Ty, t) \end{array} \right\} \right\} \end{array} \right\}$$

Put  $x = w$  and  $y = z$  in inequality (2),

$$\mathcal{M}(Aw, Bz, Bz, kt) \leq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M}(Sw, Tz, Tz, t), \mathcal{M}(Sw, Aw, Aw, t), \mathcal{M}(Tz, Bz, Bz, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(Sw, Bz, Bz, t), \mathcal{M}(Tz, Aw, Aw, t), \\ \left\{ a \mathcal{M}(Sw, Bz, Bz, t) + \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M}(Bz, Tz, Tz, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(Sw, Aw, Aw, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(Sw, Tz, Tz, t) \end{array} \right\} \right\} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(w, z, z, kt) \leq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M}(w, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(w, w, w, t), \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(w, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(z, w, w, t), \\ \left\{ a \mathcal{M}(w, z, z, t) + \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(w, w, w, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(w, z, z, t) \end{array} \right\} \right\} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(w, z, z, kt) \leq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M}(w, z, z, t), 1, 1, \mathcal{M}(z, w, w, t), \\ \{a \mathcal{M}(w, z, z, t) + \min \{1, 1, \mathcal{M}(w, z, z, t)\}\} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(w, z, z, kt) = \mathcal{M}(w, z, z, t) \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{N}(Aw, Bz, Bz, kt) &\geq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{N}(Sw, Tz, Tz, t), \mathcal{N}(Sw, Aw, Aw, t), \mathcal{N}(Tz, Bz, Bz, t), \\ \mathcal{N}(Sw, Bz, Bz, t), \mathcal{N}(Tz, Aw, Aw, t), \\ \left\{ a \mathcal{N}(Sw, Bz, Bz, t) + \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{N}(Bz, Tz, Tz, t), \\ \mathcal{N}(Sw, Aw, Aw, t), \\ \mathcal{N}(Sw, Tz, Tz, t) \end{array} \right\} \right\} \end{array} \right\} \\
 \mathcal{N}(w, z, z, kt) &\geq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{N}(w, z, z, t), \mathcal{N}(w, w, w, t), \mathcal{N}(z, z, z, t), \\ \mathcal{N}(w, z, z, t), \mathcal{N}(z, w, w, t), \\ \left\{ a \mathcal{N}(w, z, z, t) + \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{N}(z, z, z, t), \\ \mathcal{N}(w, w, w, t), \\ \mathcal{N}(w, z, z, t) \end{array} \right\} \right\} \end{array} \right\} \\
 \mathcal{N}(w, z, z, kt) &\geq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{N}(w, z, z, t), 0, 0, \mathcal{N}(z, w, w, t), \\ \{a \mathcal{N}(w, z, z, t) + \max \{0, 0, \mathcal{N}(w, z, z, t)\} \} \end{array} \right\} \\
 \mathcal{N}(w, z, z, kt) &\geq \mathcal{N}(w, z, z, kt).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 2.8, we get  $w = z$ .

**Uniqueness:** Let  $u$  be another common fixed point of  $A, B, S$  and  $T$ . Then put  $x = z$  and  $y = u$  in (2),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{M}(Ax, By, By, kt) &\leq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M}(Sx, Ty, Ty, t), \mathcal{M}(Sx, Ax, Ax, t), \mathcal{M}(Ty, By, By, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(Sx, By, By, t), \mathcal{M}(Ty, Ax, Ax, t), \\ \left\{ a \mathcal{M}(Sx, By, By, t) + \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M}(By, Ty, Ty, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(Sx, Ax, Ax, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(Sx, Ty, Ty, t) \end{array} \right\} \right\} \end{array} \right\} \\
 \mathcal{M}(Az, Bu, Bu, kt) &\leq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M}(Sz, Tu, Tu, t), \mathcal{M}(Sz, Az, Az, t), \mathcal{M}(Tu, Bu, Bu, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(Sz, Bu, Bu, t), \mathcal{M}(Tu, Az, Az, t), \\ \left\{ a \mathcal{M}(Sz, Bu, Bu, t) + \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M}(Bu, Tu, Tu, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(Sz, Az, Az, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(Sz, Tu, Tu, t) \end{array} \right\} \right\} \end{array} \right\} \\
 \mathcal{M}(z, u, u, kt) &\leq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M}(z, u, u, t), \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(u, u, u, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(z, u, u, t), \mathcal{M}(u, z, z, t), \\ \left\{ a \mathcal{M}(z, u, u, t) + \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M}(u, u, u, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(z, u, u, t) \end{array} \right\} \right\} \end{array} \right\} \\
 \mathcal{M}(z, u, u, kt) &\leq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M}(z, u, u, t), 1, 1, \mathcal{M}(z, u, u, t), \mathcal{M}(u, z, z, t), \\ \{a \mathcal{M}(z, u, u, t) + \min \{1, 1, \mathcal{M}(z, u, u, t)\} \} \end{array} \right\} \\
 \mathcal{M}(z, u, u, kt) &\leq \mathcal{M}(z, u, u, t) \text{ and}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{N}(Ax, By, By, kt) \geq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{N}(Sx, Ty, Ty, t), \mathcal{N}(Sx, Ax, Ax, t), \mathcal{N}(Ty, By, By, t), \\ \mathcal{N}(Sx, By, By, t), \mathcal{N}(Ty, Ax, Ax, t), \\ \left\{ a \mathcal{N}(Sx, By, By, t) + \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{N}(By, Ty, Ty, t), \\ \mathcal{N}(Sx, Ax, Ax, t), \\ \mathcal{N}(Sx, Ty, Ty, t) \end{array} \right\} \right\} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{N}(Az, Bu, Bu, kt) &\geq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{N}(Sz, Tu, Tu, t), \mathcal{N}(Sz, Az, Az, t), \mathcal{N}(Tu, Bu, Bu, t), \\ \mathcal{N}(Sz, Bu, Bu, t), \mathcal{N}(Tu, Az, Az, t), \\ \left\{ a \mathcal{N}(Sz, Bu, Bu, t) + \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{N}(Bu, Tu, Tu, t), \\ \mathcal{N}(Sz, Az, Az, t), \\ \mathcal{N}(Sz, Tu, Tu, t) \end{array} \right\} \right\} \end{array} \right\} \\
 \mathcal{N}(z, u, u, kt) &\geq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{N}(z, u, u, t), \mathcal{N}(z, z, z, t), \mathcal{N}(u, u, u, t), \\ \mathcal{N}(z, u, u, t), \mathcal{N}(u, z, z, t), \\ \left\{ a \mathcal{N}(z, u, u, t) + \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{N}(u, u, u, t), \\ \mathcal{N}(z, z, z, t), \\ \mathcal{N}(z, u, u, t) \end{array} \right\} \right\} \end{array} \right\} \\
 \mathcal{N}(z, u, u, kt) &\geq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{N}(z, u, u, t), 0, 0, \mathcal{N}(z, u, u, t), \mathcal{N}(u, z, z, t), \\ \{ a \mathcal{N}(z, u, u, t) + \max \{ 0, 0, \mathcal{N}(z, u, u, t) \} \} \end{array} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{N}(z, u, u, kt) \geq \mathcal{N}(z, u, u, t)$ . Then by Lemma 2.8, we get  $z = u$ . □

## References

- [1] C.Alaca, D.Tukoglu and C.Yiliz, *Fixed ts in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 29(2006), 1073-1078.
- [2] K.Atanassov, *Intuitionistic fuzzy Sets*, Fuzzy sets and Systems, 20(1986), 87-96.
- [3] A.George and P.Veeramani, *On Some results in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy sets and Systems, 64(1994), 395-399.
- [4] O.Kramosil and J.Michalek, *Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces*, Ky-bernetics, 11(1975), 330-334.
- [5] S.Mehra and M.Gugnani, *A Common fixed point for six mappings in an intuitionistic-fuzzy metric space*, Indian Journal of Mathematics, 51(1)(2009), 23-47.
- [6] J.H.Park, *Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 22(2004), 1039-1046.
- [7] Ranjeeta Jain and N.Bajaj, *A Common Fixed Point for Eight Mappings in an intuitionistic-fuzzy metric space with Property 'E'*, Global journal of science frontier research Mathematics and decision science, 13(2/1)(2013).
- [8] S.Sedghi and N.Shobe, *Fixed point theorem in -fuzzy metric spaces with property (E)*, Advances in Fuzzy Mathematics, 1(1)(2006), 55-65.
- [9] A.Al Thagafi and Nasser Shahzed, *Generalized Non expansive self maps and invariant approximation*, Acta Mathematica Slnica English Sires, 24(5)(2008), 867-876.
- [10] D.Turkoglu, I.Altun and Y.J.Cho.Y.J, *Common fixed points of compatible mappings of type (I) and type (II) in fuzzy metric spaces*, J. Fuzzy Math., 15(2007), 435-448.
- [11] T.Veerapandi, M.Jeyaraman and Paul Raj Josphs, *Common fixed point theorem in generalized M-fuzzy metric spaces*, International Review of pure and applied Mathematics, 4(2)(2008), 203-210.
- [12] L.A.Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Information and Control, 8(1965), 338-353.