

International Journal of Current Research in Science and Technology

# New Classes of Ideal Topological Quotient Maps

**Research Article** 

O.Ravi<sup>1\*</sup>, L.Rajendran<sup>2</sup>, J.Xavier Adaikalaraj<sup>3</sup> and C.Rajan<sup>4</sup>

1 Department of Mathematics, P. M. Thevar College, Usilampatti, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India.

2 Department of Mathematics, The Madura College, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India.

3 Department of Mathematics, Arul Anandar College, Karumathur, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India.

4 Department of Mathematics, Vivekanada College, Thiruvedagam, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract: The purpose of this paper is to study the concept of quotient maps in ideal topological spaces and study some of its stronger forms.

**MSC:** 54C08.

**Keywords:** Ideal topological space,  $\alpha^*$ - $\mathcal{I}$ -open or  $\alpha^*_{\mathcal{I}}$ -open set, semi\*- $\mathcal{I}$ -open set, pre $^*_{\mathcal{I}}$ -open set. © JS Publication.

# 1. Introduction

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space with no separation axioms assumed. For any  $A \subseteq X$ , cl(A) and int(A) will denote the closure and interior of A in  $(X, \tau)$ , respectively. Njastad [9] introduced the concept of an  $\alpha$ -sets and Mashhour et al. [8] introduced  $\alpha$ -continuous maps in topological spaces. The topological notions of semi-open sets and semi-continuity, and preopen sets and precontinuity were introduced by Levine [6] and Mashhour et al. [7] respectively. After advent of these notions, Reilly [11] and Lellis Thivagar [5] obtained many interesting and important results on  $\alpha$ -continuity and  $\alpha$ -irresolute maps in topological spaces. Lellis Thivagar [5] introduced the concepts of  $\alpha$ -quotient maps and  $\alpha^*$ -quotient maps in topological spaces. A nonempty collection  $\mathcal{I}$  of subsets of a set X is said to be an ideal on X if it satisfies the following two properties:

- (1).  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $B \subseteq A$  imply  $B \in \mathcal{I}$ ;
- (2).  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{I}$  imply  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$ . [4]

A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  with an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on X is called an ideal topological space (an ideal space) and is denoted by  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$ . For an ideal space  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  and a subset  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $A^*(\mathcal{I}) = \{x \in X : U \cap A \notin \mathcal{I} \text{ for every } U \in \tau(x)\}$ , is local function [4] of A with respect to  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\tau$ . It is well known that  $cl^*(A) = A \cup A^*$  defines a Kuratowski closure operator for a topology  $\tau^*$  finer than  $\tau$  [12]. int<sup>\*</sup>(A) will denote the interior of A in  $(X, \tau^*, \mathcal{I})$ .

Quite recently, Viswanathan and Jayasudha [13] introduced and studied the notion of  $\alpha^* - \mathcal{I}$ -open or  $\alpha^*_{\mathcal{I}}$ -open [10] sets. Ekici and Noiri [2] introduced and studied the notion of semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{I}$ -open sets. In [3], they studied further properties of semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{I}$ -open sets. Ekici [1] introduced and studied the notion of pre<sup>\*</sup><sub>T</sub>-open sets.

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  E-mail: siingam@yahoo.com

In this paper, we introduce new classes of ideal topological maps called  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -quotient maps and  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha^*$ -quotient maps in ideal topological spaces. At every places the new notions have been substantiated with suitable examples.

### 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1** ([10, 13]). A subset A of an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is said to be  $\alpha^*$ - $\mathcal{I}$ -open or  $\alpha_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -open if  $A \subseteq int^*(cl(int^*(A)))$ .

**Definition 2.2** ([2, 3]). A subset K of an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is said to be

(1). semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{I}$ -open if  $K \subseteq cl(int^*(K))$ ,

(2).  $semi^*$ - $\mathcal{I}$ -closed if its complement is  $semi^*$ - $\mathcal{I}$ -open.

**Definition 2.3** ([1]). A subset G of an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is said to be

- (1).  $pre_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -open if  $G \subseteq int^*(cl(G))$ .
- (2).  $pre_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -closed if  $X \setminus G$  is  $pre_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -open.

The family of all  $\alpha_{\mathcal{I}}^{\star}$ -open [resp. semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{I}$ -open, pre<sup>\*</sup><sub> $\mathcal{I}$ </sub>-open] sets of  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is denoted by  $\alpha_{\mathcal{I}}^{\star}O(X)$  [resp. semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{I}O(X)$ , pre<sup>\*</sup><sub> $\mathcal{I}$ </sub>O(X)].

**Theorem 2.4** ([13]). Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal topological space. Then,  $\alpha_{\mathcal{I}}^* O(X) = semi^* \mathcal{I}O(X) \cap pre_{\mathcal{I}}^* O(X)$ .

**Remark 2.5** ([13]). For a subset of an ideal topological space, the following holds.

Every open set is  $\alpha_{\mathcal{I}}^{\star}$ -open but not conversely.

# **3.** $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute Maps

**Definition 3.1** ([13]). Let  $f: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma)$  be a map. Then f is said to be  $\alpha^* - \mathcal{I}$ -continuous [resp. semi<sup>\*</sup> - \mathcal{I}-continuous,  $pre^*_{\mathcal{I}}$ -continuous] if the inverse image of each open set of Y is  $\alpha^* - \mathcal{I}$ -open [resp. semi<sup>\*</sup> - \mathcal{I}-open,  $pre^*_{\mathcal{I}}$ -open] in X.

**Definition 3.2.** A map  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{I})$  is called  $\alpha^* \cdot \mathcal{I}$ -open [resp. semi<sup>\*</sup> -  $\mathcal{I}$ -open, pre<sup>\*</sup><sub> $\mathcal{I}$ </sub>-open, open] if the image of each open set in X is an  $\alpha^* \cdot \mathcal{I}$ -open [resp. semi<sup>\*</sup> -  $\mathcal{I}$ -open, pre<sup>\*</sup><sub> $\mathcal{I}$ </sub>-open, open] set of Y.

#### Theorem 3.3.

(1). A map  $f: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\alpha^* - \mathcal{I}$ -continuous if and only if it is semi<sup>\*</sup> - \mathcal{I}-continuous and  $pre^*_{\mathcal{I}}$ -continuous.

(2). A map  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{I})$  is  $\alpha^* - \mathcal{I}$ -open if and only if it is semi<sup>\*</sup> -  $\mathcal{I}$ -open and  $pre^*_{\mathcal{I}}$ -open.

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $f: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  be a map. Then f is said to be  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute (resp.  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -semi-irresolute,  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -preirresolute) if the inverse image of every  $\alpha^*$ - $\mathcal{J}$ -open [resp. semi^\*- $\mathcal{J}$ -open, pre $_{\mathcal{J}}^*$ -open] set in Y is an  $\alpha^*$ - $\mathcal{I}$ -open [resp. semi^\*- $\mathcal{I}$ -open, pre $_{\mathcal{J}}^*$ -open] set in X.

**Theorem 3.5.** A map  $f: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -semi-irresolute if and only if for every semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{J}$ -closed subset A of  $Y, f^{-1}(A)$  is semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{I}$ -closed in X.

*Proof.* If f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -semi-irresolute, then for every semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{J}$ -open subset B of Y,  $f^{-1}(B)$  is semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{I}$ -open in X. If A is any semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{J}$ -closed subset of Y, then Y–A is semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{J}$ -open. Thus  $f^{-1}(Y-A)$  is semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{I}$ -open but  $f^{-1}(Y-A)=X-f^{-1}(A)$  so that  $f^{-1}(A)$  is semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{I}$ -closed in X.

Conversely, if, for all semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{J}$ -closed subsets A of Y,  $f^{-1}(A)$  is semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{I}$ -closed in X and if B is any semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{J}$ -open subset of Y, then Y–B is semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{J}$ -closed. Also  $f^{-1}(Y-B)=X-f^{-1}(B)$  is semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{I}$ -closed. Thus  $f^{-1}(B)$  is semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{I}$ -open in X. Hence f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -semi-irresolute.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let f and g be two maps. If  $f : (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -semi-irresolute and  $g : (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J}) \rightarrow (Z, \mu, \mathcal{K})$  is  $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K})$ -semi-irresolute then  $gof : (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Z, \mu, \mathcal{K})$  is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{K})$ -semi-irresolute.

*Proof.* If  $A \subseteq Z$  is semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{K}$ -open, then  $g^{-1}(A)$  is semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{J}$ -open set in Y because g is  $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K})$ -semi-irresolute. Consequently since f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -semi-irresolute,  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(A)) = (gof)^{-1}(A)$  is semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{I}$ -open set in X. Hence gof is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{K})$ -semi-irresolute.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 3.7.** If  $f: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute and  $g: (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J}) \to (Z, \mu, \mathcal{K})$  is  $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K})$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute then  $gof: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Z, \mu, \mathcal{K})$  is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{K})$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute.

**Corollary 3.8.** If the map  $f : (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute and the map  $g : (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J}) \rightarrow (Z, \mu)$  is  $\alpha^*$ - $\mathcal{J}$ -continuous then gof :  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Z, \mu)$  is  $\alpha^*$ - $\mathcal{I}$ -continuous.

**Corollary 3.9.** Let  $f: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  and  $g: (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J}) \rightarrow (Z, \mu)$  be two maps. Then

(1). if f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -semi-irresolute and g is semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{J}$ -continuous, then gof is semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{I}$ -continuous.

(2). if f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -preirresolute and g is  $pre_{\mathcal{J}}^*$ -continuous, then gof is  $pre_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -continuous.

**Theorem 3.10.** If the map  $f: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -semi-irresolute and  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -preirresolute then f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute.

# 4. $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -quotient Maps

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a surjective map. Then f is said to be quotient provided a subset S of Y is open in Y if and only if  $f^{-1}(S)$  is open in X.

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $f: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  be a surjective map. Then f is said to be

(1). an  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -quotient if f is  $\alpha^*$ - $\mathcal{I}$ -continuous and  $f^{-1}(V)$  is open in X implies V is an  $\alpha^*$ - $\mathcal{J}$ -open set in Y.

(2). a  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -semi-quotient if f is semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{I}$ -continuous and  $f^{-1}(V)$  is open in X implies V is a semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{J}$ -open set in Y.

(3). a  $(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J})$ -prequotient if f is  $pre_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -continuous and  $f^{-1}(V)$  is open in X implies V is a  $pre_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -open set in Y.

**Example 4.3.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$  and  $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}\}$ . We have  $\alpha_{\mathcal{I}}^{\star}O(X) = semi^{\star}-\mathcal{I}O(X) = pre^{\star}\mathcal{I}O(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$ . Let  $Y = \{p, q, r\}, \sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{r\}, \{p, r\}, \{q, r\}\}$  and  $J = \{\emptyset, \{p\}\}$ . We have  $\alpha_{\mathcal{I}}^{\star}O(Y) = semi^{\star}-\mathcal{I}O(Y) = pre^{\star}\mathcal{I}O(Y) = \{\emptyset, Y, \{r\}, \{p, r\}, \{q, r\}\}$ .

Define  $f: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  by f(a) = p; f(c) = q; f(c) = r. Since the inverse image of each open in Y is  $\alpha^* \cdot \mathcal{I}$ -open in X, clearly f is  $\alpha^* \cdot \mathcal{I}$ -continuous and an  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}) \cdot \alpha$ -quotient map.

**Theorem 4.4.** If the map  $f: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  is surjective,  $\alpha^*$ - $\mathcal{I}$ -continuous and  $\alpha^*$ - $\mathcal{J}$ -open then f is an  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -quotient map.

*Proof.* Suppose  $f^{-1}(V)$  is any open set in X. Then  $f(f^{-1}(V))$  is an  $\alpha^*$ - $\mathcal{J}$ -open set in Y as f is  $\alpha^*$ - $\mathcal{J}$ -open. Since f is surjective,  $f(f^{-1}(V))=V$ . Thus V is an  $\alpha^*$ - $\mathcal{J}$ -open set in Y. Hence f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -quotient map.

**Theorem 4.5.** If the map  $f: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  is open surjective and  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute, and the map  $g: (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J}) \rightarrow (Z, \mu, \mathcal{K})$  is an  $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K})$ - $\alpha$ -quotient then gof:  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Z, \mu, \mathcal{K})$  is an  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{K})$ - $\alpha$ -quotient map.

*Proof.* Let V be any open set in Z. Since g is  $\alpha^* - \mathcal{J}$ -continuous,  $g^{-1}(V) \in \alpha^*_{\mathcal{J}}O(Y)$ . Since f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}) - \alpha$ -irresolute,  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)) = (gof)^{-1}(V) \in \alpha^*_{\mathcal{I}}O(X)$ . Thus gof is  $\alpha^* - \mathcal{I}$ -continuous. Also suppose  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V))$  is open set in X. Since f is open,  $f(f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)))$  is open set in Y. Since f is surjective,  $f(f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V))) = g^{-1}(V)$  and since g is  $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K}) - \alpha$ -quotient,  $V \in \alpha^*_{\mathcal{K}}O(Z)$ . Hence gof is an  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{K}) - \alpha$ -quotient.

**Corollary 4.6.** If the map  $f : (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  is open surjective and  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -semi- $[(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -pre] irresolute and the map  $g : (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J}) \to (Z, \mu, \mathcal{K})$  is  $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K})$ -semi- $[(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K})$ -pre] quotient then  $gof : (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Z, \mu, \mathcal{K})$  is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{K})$ -semi- $[(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{K})$ -pre] quotient map.

**Theorem 4.7.** A map  $f: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  is an  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -quotient if and only if it is both  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -semi-quotient and  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -prequotient.

*Proof.* Let V be any open set in Y. Since f is  $\alpha^* - \mathcal{I}$ -continuous,  $f^{-1}(V) \in \alpha_{\mathcal{I}}^* O(X) = \text{semi}^* - \mathcal{I}O(X) \cap \text{pre}_{\mathcal{I}}^* O(X)$ . Thus f is both semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{I}$ -continuous and pre<sup>\*</sup><sub> $\mathcal{I}$ </sub>-continuous. Also suppose  $f^{-1}(V)$  is an open set in X. Since f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -quotient,  $V \in \alpha_{\mathcal{J}}^* O(Y) = \text{semi}^* - \mathcal{J}O(Y) \cap \text{pre}_{\mathcal{J}}^* O(Y)$ . Thus V is both semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{J}$ -open set and pre<sup>\*</sup><sub> $\mathcal{J}$ </sub>-open set in Y. Hence f is both  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -semi-quotient and  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -prequotient.

Conversely, since f is both  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -semi-quotient and  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -prequotient, f is both semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{I}$ -continuous and pre<sup>\*</sup><sub> $\mathcal{I}$ </sub>continuous. Hence f is  $\alpha^*$ - $\mathcal{I}$ -continuous. Also suppose f<sup>-1</sup>(V) is an open set in X. By Definition 4.2, V $\in$ semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{J}O(Y) \cap \operatorname{pre}^*_{\mathcal{J}}O(Y) = \alpha^*_{\mathcal{J}}O(Y)$ . Thus f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -quotient.

#### Definition 4.8.

- (1). Let  $f: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma)$  be a surjective and  $\alpha^* \mathcal{I}$ -continuous map. Then f is said to be strongly  $\mathcal{I} \alpha$ -quotient provided a subset S of Y is open set in Y if and only if  $f^{-1}(S)$  is an  $\alpha^* \mathcal{I}$ -open set in X.
- (2). Let  $f: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma)$  be a surjective and semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{I}$ -continuous map. Then f is said to be strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ -semi-quotient provided a subset S of Y is open set in Y if and only if  $f^{-1}(S)$  is semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{I}$ -open set in X.
- (3). Let  $f: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma)$  be a surjective and  $pre_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -continuous map. Then f is said to be strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ -prequotient provided a subset S of Y is open set in Y if and only if  $f^{-1}(S)$  is  $pre_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -open set in X.

**Theorem 4.9.** If the map  $f : (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ -semi-quotient and strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ -prequotient then f is strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ - $\alpha$ -quotient.

*Proof.* Since f is both semi<sup>\*</sup>- $\mathcal{I}$ -continuous and pre<sup>\*</sup><sub> $\mathcal{I}$ </sub>-continuous, by Theorem 3.3, f is  $\alpha^*$ - $\mathcal{I}$ -continuous. Also let V be an open set in Y. By Definition 4.8,  $f^{-1}(V) \in \text{semi}^*$ - $\mathcal{I}O(X) \cap \text{pre}^*_{\mathcal{I}}O(X) = \alpha^*_{\mathcal{I}}O(X)$ .

Conversely, let  $f^{-1}(V) \in \alpha_{\mathcal{I}}^{\star}O(X)$ . Then  $\alpha_{\mathcal{I}}^{\star}O(X) = \text{semi}^* \cdot \mathcal{I}O(X) \cap \text{pre}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\star}O(X)$ . Since f is strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ -semi-quotient and strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ -prequotient, V is open set in Y. Hence f is strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ - $\alpha$ -quotient.

# 5. $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ \*-quotient maps

#### **Definition 5.1.** Let $f: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$ be a surjective map. Then f is said to be

(1).  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha^*$ -quotient if f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute and  $f^{-1}(S)$  is  $\alpha^*$ - $\mathcal{I}$ -open set in X implies S is open set in Y.

(2).  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -semi-\*quotient if f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -semi-irresolute and  $f^{-1}(S)$  is semi\*- $\mathcal{I}$ -open set in X implies S is open set in Y.

(3).  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -pre-\*quotient if f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -preirresolute and  $f^{-1}(S)$  is pre<sup>\*</sup><sub> $\mathcal{I}$ </sub>-open set in X implies S is open set in Y.

**Definition 5.2.** Let  $f: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  be a map. Then f is said to be strongly  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -open if the image of every  $\alpha^*$ - $\mathcal{I}$ -open set in X is an  $\alpha^*$ - $\mathcal{J}$ -open set in Y.

**Example 5.3.** Consider the Example 4.3. Clearly f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute and  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha^*$ -quotient.

**Example 5.4.** Consider the Example 4.3. Clearly f is strongly  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -open.

**Theorem 5.5.** Let the map  $f: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  be surjective strongly  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -open and  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute, and the map  $g: (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J}) \to (Z, \mu, \mathcal{K})$  be an  $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K})$ - $\alpha$ \*-quotient. Then  $gof: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Z, \mu, \mathcal{K})$  is an  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{K})$ - $\alpha$ \*-quotient map.

*Proof.* Let V be any  $\alpha^*$ - $\mathcal{K}$ -open set in Z. Then  $g^{-1}(V)$  is an  $\alpha^*$ - $\mathcal{J}$ -open set in Y as g is an  $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K})$ - $\alpha^*$ -quotient map. Then  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V))=(gof)^{-1}(V)$  is an  $\alpha^*$ - $\mathcal{I}$ -open set in X as f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute. This shows that gof is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{K})$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute. Also suppose  $(gof)^{-1}(V)=f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V))$  is an  $\alpha^*$ - $\mathcal{I}$ -open set in X. Since f is strongly  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -open,  $f(f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)))$  is an  $\alpha^*$ - $\mathcal{J}$ -open set in Y. Since f is surjective,  $f(f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)))=g^{-1}(V)$  is an  $\alpha^*$ - $\mathcal{J}$ -open set in Y. Since g is an  $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K})$ - $\alpha^*$ -quotient map, V is open in Z. Hence the theorem.

**Theorem 5.6.** If the map  $f: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  is both  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -semi-\*quotient and  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -pre-\*quotient then f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ \*-quotient.

*Proof.* Since f is both  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -semi-\*quotient and  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -pre-\*quotient, f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -semi-irresolute and  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -preirresolute. By Theorem 3.10, f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute. Also suppose  $f^{-1}(V) \in \alpha_{\mathcal{I}}^* O(X)$ . Then  $\alpha_{\mathcal{I}}^* O(X)$ =semi\*- $\mathcal{I}O(X) \cap \operatorname{pre}_{\mathcal{I}}^* O(X)$ . Therefore  $f^{-1}(V)$  is semi\*- $\mathcal{I}$ -open in X and  $f^{-1}(V)$  is pre $_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -open in X. Since f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -semi-\*quotient and  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -pre-\*quotient, by Definition 5.1., V is open set in Y. Thus f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ \*-quotient.

**Theorem 5.7.** Let  $f: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  be a strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ - $\alpha$ -quotient and  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute map and  $g: (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J}) \to (Z, \mu, K)$  be an  $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K})$ - $\alpha$ \*-quotient map then gof :  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Z, \mu, K)$  is an  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{K})$ - $\alpha$ \*-quotient.

*Proof.* Let  $V \in \alpha_{\mathcal{K}}^{\star}O(Z)$ . Since g is  $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K})$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute,  $g^{-1}(V) \in \alpha_{\mathcal{J}}^{\star}O(Y)$ . Since f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute,  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)) = (gof)^{-1}(V) \in \alpha_{\mathcal{I}}^{\star}O(X)$ . Thus gof is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{K})$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute. Also suppose  $(gof)^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)) \in \alpha_{\mathcal{I}}^{\star}O(X)$ . Since f is strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ - $\alpha$ -quotient,  $g^{-1}(V)$  is open set in Y. Then  $g^{-1}(V) \in \alpha_{\mathcal{J}}^{\star}O(Y)$ . Since g is  $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K})$ - $\alpha^{*}$ -quotient, V is open set in Z. Hence gof is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{K})$ - $\alpha^{*}$ - $\mathcal{I}$ -quotient.

### 6. Comparison

**Theorem 6.1.** Let  $f: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  be a surjective map. Then f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}) - \alpha^*$ -quotient if and only if it is strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ - $\alpha$ -quotient.

*Proof.* Let V be an open set in Y. Then  $V \in \alpha_{\mathcal{J}}^* O(Y)$ . Since f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha^*$ -quotient,  $f^{-1}(V) \in \alpha_{\mathcal{I}}^* O(X)$ . Conversely, let  $f^{-1}(V) \in \alpha_{\mathcal{I}}^* O(X)$ . Since f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha^*$ -quotient, V is open set in Y. Hence f is strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ - $\alpha$ -quotient map.

Conversely, let V be an open set in Y. Then  $V \in \alpha_{\mathcal{J}}^* O(Y)$ . Since f is strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ - $\alpha$ -quotient,  $f^{-1}(V) \in \alpha_{\mathcal{I}}^* O(X)$ . Thus f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute. Also since f is strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ - $\alpha$ -quotient,  $f^{-1}(V) \in \alpha_{\mathcal{I}}^* O(X)$  implies V is open set in Y. Hence f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha^*$ -quotient map.

#### **Theorem 6.2.** If the map $f: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$ is quotient then it is $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -quotient.

*Proof.* Let V be an open set in Y. Since f is quotient,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is open set in X and  $f^{-1}(V) \in \alpha_{\mathcal{I}}^{\star}O(X)$ . Hence f is  $\alpha^{\star} - \mathcal{I}$ continuous. Suppose  $f^{-1}(V)$  is an open set in X. Since f is quotient, V is open set in Y. Then  $V \in \alpha_{\mathcal{J}}^{\star}O(Y)$ . Hence f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}) - \alpha$ -quotient map.

**Theorem 6.3.** If the map  $f: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute then it is  $\alpha^*$ - $\mathcal{I}$ -continuous.

*Proof.* Let A be open set in Y. Then  $A \in \alpha_{\mathcal{J}}^* O(Y)$ . Since f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute,  $f^{-1}(A) \in \alpha_{\mathcal{I}}^* O(X)$ . It shows that f is  $\alpha^*$ - $\mathcal{I}$ -continuous map.

**Theorem 6.4.** If the map  $f: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}) - \alpha^*$ -quotient then it is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}) - \alpha$ -quotient.

*Proof.* Let f be  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha^*$ -quotient. Then f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute. We have f is  $\alpha^*$ - $\mathcal{I}$ -continuous. Also suppose f<sup>-1</sup>(V) is an open in X. Then f<sup>-1</sup>(V)  $\in \alpha_{\mathcal{I}}^*O(X)$ . By assumption, V is open set in Y. Therefore  $V \in \alpha_{\mathcal{J}}^*O(Y)$ . Hence f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -quotient.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 6.5.** Every  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ \*-quotient map is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute.

*Proof.* We obtain it from Definition 5.1.

**Theorem 6.6.** Every  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -quotient map is  $\alpha^*$ - $\mathcal{I}$ -continuous.

*Proof.* We obtain it from Definition 4.2.

**Remark 6.7.** The converses of Theorems 4.9 and 5.6 are not true as per the following example.

**Example 6.8.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}, Y = \{p, q, r\}, \sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{r\}, \{p, r\}\}, \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ and  $\mathcal{J} = \{\emptyset, \{q\}, \{p, q\}\}$ . Define  $f: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  by f(a) = p; f(b) = q and f(c) = r. Clearly f is  $\alpha^*\mathcal{I}$ -continuous and strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ - $\alpha$ -quotient. Since  $f^{-1}(\{q, r\}) = \{b, c\} \in semi^*\mathcal{I}O(X)$  and  $\{q, r\}$  is not open set in Y, f is not strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ -semi-quotient. Moreover f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute,  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha^*$ -quotient and  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -semi-irresolute. Since  $f^{-1}(\{q, r\}) = \{b, c\} \in semi^*\mathcal{I}O(X)$  and  $\{q, r\}$  is not open set in Y, f is not  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha^*$ -quotient.

Remark 6.9. The converses of Theorems 6.4 and 6.5 are not true as per the following example.

**Example 6.10.** Consider the Example 6.8. Clearly f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute and  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -quotient maps. Since  $f^{-1}(\{q, r\}) = \{b, c\} \in \alpha_{\mathcal{I}}^* O(X)$  and  $\{p, q\}$  is not open set in Y, f is neither strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ - $\alpha$ -quotient nor  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha^*$ -quotient.

**Remark 6.11.** The converse of Theorem 6.2 is not true and a strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ - $\alpha$ -quotient map need not be quotient as per the following example.

**Example 6.12.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}, Y = \{p, q, r\}, \sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{p\}, \{p, q\}, \{p, r\}\}, \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\} and \mathcal{J} = \{\emptyset\}.$  Define  $f: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  by f(a) = p, f(b) = q and f(c) = r. Clearly f is  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}) - \alpha$ -quotient and strongly  $\mathcal{I} - \alpha$ -quotient map. Since  $f^{-1}(\{p, q\}) = \{a, b\}$  is not open in X where  $\{p, q\}$  is open in Y, f is not quotient map.

**Remark 6.13.** A quotient map need not be strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ - $\alpha$ -quotient as per the following example.

**Example 6.14.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$ ,  $Y = \{p, q, r\}$ ,  $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{p\}, \{p, q\}\}$  and  $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ . Define  $f : (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  by f(a) = p; f(b) = q and f(c) = r. Clearly f is quotient but not strongly  $\mathcal{I} - \alpha$ -quotient map.

Remark 6.15. The converses of Theorems 6.3 and 6.6 are not true as per the following example.

**Example 6.16.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}, Y = \{p, q, r\}, \sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{r\}, \{p, r\}\}, \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ and  $\mathcal{J} = \{\emptyset, \{q\}, \{p, q\}\}$ . Define  $f : (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  by f(a) = p; f(b) = q and f(c) = r. Clearly f is  $\alpha^* \cdot \mathcal{I}$ -continuous. Since  $f^{-1}(\{q, r\}) = \{b, c\} \notin \alpha_{\mathcal{I}}^* O(X)$  where  $\{q, r\} \in \alpha_{\mathcal{J}}^* O(Y)$ , f is not  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}) \cdot \alpha$ -irresolute. Also, since  $f^{-1}(\{q\}) = \{b\}$  is open in X where  $\{q\} \notin \alpha_{\mathcal{J}}^* O(Y)$ , f is not  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}) \cdot \alpha$ -quotient map.

Remark 6.17. We obtain the following diagram from the above discussions.



Where A→B means that A does not necessarily imply B and, moreover,

- (1) =  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute map.
- (2) =  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha^*$ -quotient map.
- (3) = strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ - $\alpha$ -quotient map.
- (4) =  $\alpha^* \mathcal{I}$ -continuous map.
- (5) =  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ - $\alpha$ -quotient map.
- (6) =quotient map.

#### References

- E.Ekici, On AC<sub>I</sub>-sets, BC<sub>I</sub>-sets, β<sup>\*</sup><sub>I</sub>-open sets and decompositions of continuity in ideal topological spaces, Creat. Math. Inform, 20(1)(2011), 47-54.
- [2] E.Ekici and T.Noiri, \*-hyperconnected ideal topological spaces, Analele Stiintifice Ale Universitatii Al I. Cuza Din Iasi -Serie Noua-Matematica, Tomul LVIII, 1(2012), 121-129.
- [3] E.Ekici and T.Noiri, \*-extremally disconnected ideal topological spaces, Acta Math. Hungar. 122(1-2)(2009), 81-90.
- [4] K.Kuratowski, Topology, Vol. I, Academic Press, New York, (1966).
- [5] M.Lellis Thivagar, A note on quotient mappings, Bull. Malaysian Math. Sci. Soc. (Second series), 14(1991), 21-30.
- [6] N.Levine, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly, 70(1963), 36-41.
- [7] A.S.Mashhour, M.E.Abd El-Monsef and S.N.El-Deeb, On precontinuous and weak pre-continuous mappings, Proc. Math. and Phys. Soc. Egypt, 53(1982), 47-53.
- [8] A.S.Mashhour, I.A.Hasanein and S.N.El-Deeb, α-continuous and α-open mappings, Acta Math. Hungar., 41(1983), 213-218.
- [9] O.Njastad, On some classes of nearly open sets, Pacific J. Math., 15(1965), 961-970.
- [10] O.Ravi, K.M.Dharmalingam, M.Meharin and P.Santhi, On  $I_{\pi g \alpha^{\star}}$ -closed sets in ideal topological spaces, Journal of New Theory, 2(2015), 55-62.

- [11] I.L.Reilly and M.K.Vamanamurthy, On  $\alpha$ -continuity in topological spaces, Acta Math. Hungar., 45(1-2)(1985), 27-32.
- [12] R.Vaidyanathaswamy R, Set topology, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, (1960).
- [13] K.Viswanathan and J.Jayasudha, Some new sets and decompositions of  $\alpha^*$ - $\mathcal{I}$ -continuity and  $A_{IR}$ -continuity via idealization, Malaya J. Matematik, S(1)(2013), 17-23.