

International Journal of Current Research in Science and Technology

$\pi\text{-}\mathbf{Generalized}$ Closed Sets with Respect to an Ideal

Research Article

O. Ravi^{1*}, M. Suresh² and A. Pandi¹

- 1 Department of Mathematics, P. M. Thevar College, Usilampatti, Madurai District, Tamil Nadu, India.
- 2 Department of Mathematics, RMD Engineering College, Kavaraipettai, Gummidipoondi, Thiruvallur District, Tamil Nadu, India.
- Abstract: An ideal on a set X is a non empty collection of subsets of X with heredity property which is also closed under finite unions. The concept of generalized closed (g-closed) sets was introduced by Levine [16]. Quite Recently, Jafari and Rajesh [12] have introduced and studied the notion of generalized closed (g-closed) sets with respect to an ideal. Many variations of g-closed sets are being introduced and investigated by modern researchers. One among them is πg -closed sets which were introduced by Dontchev and Noiri [4]. In this paper, we introduce and investigate the concept of π -generalized closed (πg -closed) sets with respect to an ideal.
- **Keywords:** Topological space, π -open set, π -generalized closed set, g-closed set, \mathcal{I}_g -closed set, $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed set, ideal. (c) JS Publication.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In 1968, Zaitsev [22] introduced the notion of π -open sets as a finite union of regular open sets. This notion received a proper attention and some research articles came to existence.

The notion of closed set is fundamental in the study of topological spaces. In 1970, Levine [16] introduced the concept of generalized closed sets in a topological space by comparing the closure of a subset with its open supersets. He defined a subset A of a topological space X to be generalized closed (briefly, g-closed) if $cl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open. This notion has been studied extensively in recent years by many topologists. After advent of g-closed sets, many variations of g-closed sets are being introduced and investigated by modern topologists. One among them is πg -closed sets which were introduced by Dontchev and Noiri [4].

Dontchev and Noiri [4] introduced and investigated πg -closed sets, π -continuity and πg -continuity. Ekici and Baker [6] studied further properties of πg -closed sets and continuities. In 2007, Ekici [7] introduced and studied some new forms of continuities. In [14], Kalantan introduced and investigated π -normality. The digital n-space is not a metric space, since it is not T₁. But recently Takigawa and Maki [21] showed that in the digital n-space every closed set is π -open. Recently, Ekici [5] introduced and studied contra πg -continuous functions. In 2010, Caldas et. al. [3] introduced and studied contra $\pi g p$ -continuity.

Indeed ideals are very important tools in General Topology. It was the works of Newcomb [17], Rancin [18], Samuels [19] and Hamlett and Jankovic (see [8–11, 13]) which motivated the research in applying topological ideals to generalize the most basic properties in General Topology. A nonempty collection \mathcal{I} of subsets on a topological space (X, τ) is called a topological ideal [15] if it satisfies the following two conditions:

 $^{^{*}}$ E-mail: siingam@yahoo.com

- 1. If $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and $B \subseteq A$ implies $B \in \mathcal{I}$ (heredity)
- 2. If $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and $B \in \mathcal{I}$, then $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$ (finite additivity)

If A is a subset of a topological space (X, τ) , cl(A) and int(A) denote the closure of A and the interior of A, respectively. Let $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$. Then $cl_B(A)$ (resp. $int_B(A)$) denotes closure of A (resp. interior of A) with respect to B.

In this paper, we introduce and study the concept of πg -closed sets with respect to an ideal, which is the extension of the concept of πg -closed sets.

The following Definitions and Remarks are useful in the sequel.

Definition 1.1. A subset A of a topological space X is regular open [20] if A = int(cl(A)).

Definition 1.2. The finite union of regular open sets is called π -open [22]. The complement of π -open set is π -closed [22].

Definition 1.3. A subset A of a topological space X to be π -generalized closed (briefly, π g-closed) [4] if $cl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is π -open.

Definition 1.4. A subset A of a topological space X to be generalized closed (briefly, g-closed) [16] if $cl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open.

Definition 1.5. Let (X, τ) be a topological space and \mathcal{I} be an ideal on X. A subset A of X is said to be generalized closed with respect to an ideal (briefly \mathcal{I}_q -closed) [12] if and only if $cl(A)-B \in \mathcal{I}$, whenever $A \subseteq B$ and B is open.

Remark 1.6. For a subset of a topological space, the following properties hold:

- 1. Every closed set is g-closed but not conversely [16].
- 2. Every g-closed set is πg -closed but not conversely [4].

Remark 1.7 ([12]). Every g-closed set is \mathcal{I}_g -closed but not conversely.

Definition 1.8 ([1, 2]). A function $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is called π -irresolute if $f^{-1}(V)$ is π -closed in X for every π -closed set V of Y.

2. π -Generalized Closed Sets with Respect to an Ideal

Definition 2.1. Let (X, τ) be a topological space and \mathcal{I} be an ideal on X. A subset A of X is said to be π -generalized closed with respect to an ideal (briefly $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed) if and only if $cl(A)-B \in \mathcal{I}$, whenever $A \subseteq B$ and B is π -open.

Remark 2.2. Every πg -closed set is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed, but the converse need not be true, as this may be seen from the following *Example*.

Example 2.3. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}, \tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ and $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{d\}\}$. Then $\{a\}$ is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed but not πg -closed.

The following theorem gives a characterization of $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed sets.

Theorem 2.4. If a set A is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi q}$ -closed in (X, τ) , then $F \subseteq cl(A) - A$ and F is π -closed in X implies $F \in \mathcal{I}$.

Proof. Assume that A is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed. Let $F \subseteq cl(A)-A$. Suppose F is π -closed. Then $A \subseteq X-F$. By our assumption, $cl(A)-(X-F) \in \mathcal{I}$. But $F \subseteq cl(A)-(X-F)$ and hence $F \in \mathcal{I}$.

Remark 2.5. The intersection of π -closed set with closed set need not be a π -closed in general.

Example 2.6. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. Then \emptyset , $X, \{d\}, \{a, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}$ are closed sets and \emptyset , $X, \{d\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c, d\}$ are π -closed sets. Clearly intersection of the closed set $\{c, d\}$ and the π -closed set X is $\{c, d\}$ which is closed set but not π -closed set.

Definition 2.7. A topological space (X, τ) is called π -C-O space if the intersection of π -closed set in X with closed set is π -closed set in X or the union of π -open set in X with open set is π -open set in X.

Example 2.8. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$. Then (X, τ) is not a π -C-O space.

Example 2.9. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$. Then (X, τ) is a π -C-O space.

Theorem 2.10. Let (X, τ) be a π -C-O space and $A \subseteq X$. If $F \subseteq cl(A)-A$ and F is π -closed in X implies $F \in \mathcal{I}$, the set is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi q}$ -closed in (X, τ) .

Proof. Assume that $F \subseteq cl(A)-A$ and F is π -closed in X implies that $F \in \mathcal{I}$. Suppose $A \subseteq U$ and U is π -open. Then $cl(A)-U = cl(A) \cap (X-U)$ is a π -closed set in X, that is contained in cl(A)-A. By assumption, $cl(A)-U \in \mathcal{I}$. This implies that A is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed.

Theorem 2.11. Let (X, τ) be a π -C-O space and $A \subseteq X$. A set A is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed in (X, τ) if and only if $F \subseteq cl(A)-A$ and F is π -closed in X implies $F \in \mathcal{I}$.

Proof. It follows from Theorems 2.4 and 2.10.

Theorem 2.12. If A and B are $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed sets of (X, τ) , then their union $A \cup B$ is also $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed.

Proof. Suppose A and B are $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed sets in (X, τ) . If $A \cup B \subseteq U$ and U is π -open, then $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq U$. By assumption, $cl(A)-U \in \mathcal{I}$ and $cl(B)-U \in \mathcal{I}$ and hence $cl(A \cup B)-U = (cl(A)-U) \cup (cl(B)-U) \in \mathcal{I}$. That is $A \cup B$ is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed.

Remark 2.13. The intersection of two $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed sets need not be an $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed as shown by the following Example.

Example 2.14. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$ and $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$. Then $A = \{a, c\}$ and $B = \{a, d\}$ are $\mathcal{I}_{\pi q}$ -closed but their intersection $A \cap B = \{a\}$ is not $\mathcal{I}_{\pi q}$ -closed.

Remark 2.15. Every \mathcal{I}_{g} -closed set is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed but not conversely.

Example 2.16. In Example 2.3, $\{b\}$ is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed but not \mathcal{I}_{g} -closed.

Remark 2.17. For several subsets defined above, we have the following implications.

 $\mathcal{I}_{g}\text{-closed set} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\pi g}\text{-closed set}$ $\uparrow \qquad \uparrow$ $closed set \longrightarrow g\text{-closed set} \longrightarrow \pi g\text{-closed set}$

The reverse implications are not true.

Theorem 2.18. If A is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed and $A \subseteq B \subseteq cl(A)$ in (X, τ) , then B is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed in (X, τ) .

Proof. Suppose A is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed and $A \subseteq B \subseteq cl(A)$ in (X, τ) . Suppose $B \subseteq U$ and U is π -open. Then $A \subseteq U$. Since A is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed, we have $cl(A)-U \in \mathcal{I}$. Now $B \subseteq cl(A)$. This implies that $cl(B)-U \subseteq cl(A)-U \in \mathcal{I}$. Hence B is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed in (X, τ) .

Theorem 2.19. Let $A \subseteq Y \subseteq X$ and suppose that A is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed in (X, τ) . Then A is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed relative to the subspace Y of X, with respect to the ideal $\mathcal{I}_Y = \{F \subseteq Y : F \in \mathcal{I}\}.$

Proof. Suppose $A \subseteq U \cap Y$ and U is π -open in (X, τ) , then $A \subseteq U$. Since A is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed in (X, τ) , we have $cl(A)-U \in \mathcal{I}$. Now $(cl(A) \cap Y)-(U \cap Y) = (cl(A)-U) \cap Y \in \mathcal{I}$, whenever $A \subseteq U \cap Y$ and U is π -open. Hence A is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed relative to the subspace Y.

Theorem 2.20. Let A be an $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed set and F be a closed set in the π -C-O space (X, τ) , then $A \cap F$ is an $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed set in (X, τ) .

Proof. Let A ∩ F ⊆ U and U is π-open. Then A ⊆ U ∪ (X−F). Since A is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed, we have cl(A)−(U ∪ (X−F)) ∈ \mathcal{I} . Now, cl(A ∩ F) ⊆ cl(A) ∩ F = (cl(A) ∩ F)−(X−F). Therefore, cl(A ∩ F)−U ⊆ (cl(A) ∩ F)−(U ∩ (X−F)) ⊆ cl(A)−(U ∪ (X−F)) ∈ \mathcal{I} . Hence A ∩ F is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed in (X, τ).

Definition 2.21. Let (X, τ) be a topological space and \mathcal{I} be an ideal on X. A subset $A \subseteq X$ is said to be π -generalized open with respect to an ideal (briefly $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -open) if and only if X-A is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed.

Theorem 2.22. A set A is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -open in (X, τ) if and only if $F-U \subseteq int(A)$, for some $U \in \mathcal{I}$, whenever $F \subseteq A$ and F is π -closed.

Proof. Suppose A is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -open. Suppose $F \subseteq A$ and F is π -closed. We have $X-A \subseteq X-F$. By assumption, $cl(X-A) \subseteq (X-F) \cup U$, for some $U \in \mathcal{I}$. This implies $X-((X-F) \cup U) \subseteq X-(cl(X-A))$ and hence $F-U \subseteq int(A)$.

Conversely, assume that $F \subseteq A$ and F is π -closed. Then $F-U \subseteq int(A)$, for some $U \in \mathcal{I}$. Consider an π -open set G such that $X-A \subseteq G$. Then $X-G \subseteq A$. By assumption, $(X-G)-U \subseteq int(A) = X-cl(X-A)$. This gives that $X-(G \cup U) \subseteq X-cl(X-A)$. Then, $cl(X-A) \subseteq G \cup U$, for some $U \in \mathcal{I}$. This shows that $cl(X-A)-G \in \mathcal{I}$. Hence X-A is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed.

Recall that the sets A and B are said to be separated if $cl(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ and $A \cap cl(B) = \emptyset$.

Theorem 2.23. If A and B are separated $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -open sets in π -C-O space (X, τ) , then $A \cup B$ is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -open.

Proof. Suppose A and B are separated $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -open sets in (X, τ) and F be a π -closed subset of $A \cup B$. Then $F \cap cl(A) \subseteq A$ and $F \cap cl(B) \subseteq B$. By assumption, $(F \cap cl(A)) - U_1 \subseteq int(A)$ and $(F \cap cl(B)) - U_2 \subseteq int(B)$, for some $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{I}$. It means that $((F \cap cl(A)) - int(A)) \in \mathcal{I}$ and $((F \cap cl(B)) - int(B)) \in \mathcal{I}$. Then $((F \cap cl(A)) - int(A)) \cup ((F \cap cl(B)) - int(B)) \in \mathcal{I}$. Hence $(F \cap (cl(A) \cup cl(B)) - (int(A) \cup int(B))) \in \mathcal{I}$. But $F = F \cap (A \cup B) \subseteq F \cap cl(A \cup B)$, and we have $F - int(A \cup B) \subseteq (F \cap cl(A \cup B)) - (int(A \cup B)) - (int(A) \cup int(B)) \in \mathcal{I}$. Hence, $F - U \subseteq int(A \cup B)$, for some $U \in \mathcal{I}$. This proves that $A \cup B$ is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -open.

Corollary 2.24. Let A and B are $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed sets and suppose X-A and X-B are separated in (X, τ) . Then $A \cap B$ is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed.

Corollary 2.25. If A and B are $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -open sets in (X, τ) , then $A \cap B$ is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -open.

Proof. If A and B are $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -open, then X–A and X–B are $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed. By Theorem 2.12, X–(A \cap B) is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed, which implies A \cap B is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -open.

Theorem 2.26. If $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$, A is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -open relative to B and B is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -open relative to X, then A is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -open relative to X.

Proof. Suppose $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$, A is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -open relative to B and B is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -open relative to X. Suppose $F \subseteq A$ and F is π -closed. Since A is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -open relative to B, by Theorem 2.22, $F-U_1 \subseteq \operatorname{int}_B(A)$, for some $U_1 \in \mathcal{I}$. This implies there exists an π -open set G_1 such that $F-U_1 \subseteq G_1 \cap B \subseteq A$, for some $U_1 \in \mathcal{I}$. Since B is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -open, $F \subseteq B$ and F is π -closed; we have $F-U_2 \subseteq \operatorname{int}(B)$, for some $U_2 \in \mathcal{I}$. This implies there exists an π -open set G_2 such that $F-U_2 \subseteq G_2 \subseteq B$, for some $U_2 \in \mathcal{I}$. Now $F-(U_1 \cup U_2) \subseteq (F-U_1) \cap (F-U_2) \subseteq G_1 \cap G_2 \subseteq G_1 \cap B \subseteq A$. This implies that $F-(U_1 \cup U_2) \subseteq \operatorname{int}(A)$, for some $U_1 \cup U_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ and hence A is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -open relative to X.

Theorem 2.27. If $int(A) \subseteq B \subseteq A$ and A is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -open in (X, τ) , then B is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -open in X.

Proof. Suppose $int(A) \subseteq B \subseteq A$ and A is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -open. Then $X - A \subseteq X - B \subseteq cl(X - A)$ and X - A is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed. By Theorem 2.18, X-B is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed and hence B is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -open.

Theorem 2.28. Let (X, τ) be a π -C-O space. Then a set A is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed in X if and only if cl(A) - A is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -open in X.

Proof. Necessity: Suppose $F \subseteq cl(A) - A$ and F be π -closed. Then by Theorem 2.4, $F \in \mathcal{I}$. This implies that $F - U = \emptyset$, for some $U \in \mathcal{I}$. Clearly, $F - U \subseteq int(cl(A) - A)$. By Theorem 2.22, cl(A) - A is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -open.

Sufficiency: Suppose $A \subseteq G$ and G is π -open in (X, τ) . Then $cl(A) \cap (X-G) \subseteq cl(A) \cap (X-A) = cl(A)-A$. By hypothesis, $(cl(A) \cap (X-G))-U \subseteq int(cl(A)-A) = \emptyset$, for some $U \in \mathcal{I}$. This implies that $cl(A) \cap (X-G) \subseteq U \in \mathcal{I}$ and hence $cl(A)-G \in \mathcal{I}$. Thus, A is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed.

Theorem 2.29. Let $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ be π -irresolute and closed. If $A \subseteq X$ is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed in X, then f(A) is $f(\mathcal{I})_{\pi g}$ -closed in (Y, σ) , where $f(\mathcal{I}) = \{f(U) : U \in \mathcal{I}\}$.

Proof. Suppose $A \subseteq X$ and A is $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed. Suppose $f(A) \subseteq G$ and G is π -open. Then $A \subseteq f^{-1}(G)$. By definition, $cl(A)-f^{-1}(G) \in \mathcal{I}$ and hence $f(cl(A))-G \in f(\mathcal{I})$. Since f is closed, $cl(f(A)) \subseteq cl(f(cl(A))) = f(cl(A))$. Then $cl(f(A))-G \subseteq f(cl(A))-G \in f(\mathcal{I})$ and hence f(A) is $f(\mathcal{I})_{\pi g}$ -closed.

References

- [1] S.C.Arora, S.Tahiliani and H.Maki, On π generalized β -closed sets in topological spaces II, Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae Online, (e-2009), 637-648.
- [2] G.Aslim, A.Cakshu Guler and T.Noiri, On πgs-closed sets in topological spaces, Acta Math. Hungar., 112(4)(2004), 275-283.
- [3] M.Caldas, S.Jafari, K.Viswanathan and S.Krishnaprakash, On contra πgp-continuous functions, Kochi J. Math., 5(2010), 67-78.
- [4] J.Dontchev and T.Noiri, Quasi-normal spaces and πg -closed sets, Acta Math. Hungar., 89(3)(2000), 211-219.
- [5] E.Ekici, On contra πg -continuous functions, Chaos Solitons and Fractals, 35(2008), 71-81.
- [6] E.Ekici and C.W.Baker, On πg-closed sets and continuity, Kochi J. Math., 2(2007), 35-42.
- [7] E.Ekici, On (g, s)-continuous and $(\pi g, s)$ -continuous functions, Sarajevo J. Math., 3(15)(2007), 99-113.
- [8] T.R.Hamlett and D.Jankovic, Compactness with respect to an ideal, Boll. Un. Mat. Ita., 7 (4-B)(1990), 849-861.
- [9] T.R.Hamlett and D.Jankovic, Ideals in topological spaces and the set operator, Boll. Un. Mat. Ita., 7(1990), 863-874.

- [10] T.R.Hamlett and D.Jankovic, Ideals in General Topology and Applications (Midletown, CT, 1988), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. Dekker, New York, (1990), 115-125.
- [11] T.R.Hamlett and D.Jankovic, Compatible extensions of ideals, Boll. Un. Mat. Ita., 7(1992), 453-465.
- [12] S.Jafari and N.Rajesh, Generalized closed sets with respect to an ideal, European J. Pure Appl. Math., 4(2)(2011), 147-151.
- [13] D.Jankovic and T.R.Hamlett, New topologies from old via ideals, Amer. Math. Month., 97(1990), 295-310.
- [14] L.N.Kalantan, π -normal topological spaces, Filomat., 22(1)(2008), 173-181.
- [15] K.Kuratowski, Topologies I, Warszawa, (1933).
- [16] N.Levine, Generalized closed sets in topology, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo., 19(2)(1970), 89-96.
- [17] R.L.Newcomb, Topologies which are compact modulo an ideal, Ph.D. Dissertation, Univ. Cal. at Santa Barbara, (1967).
- [18] D.V.Rancin, Compactness modulo an ideal, Soviet Math. Dokl., 13(1972), 193-197.
- [19] P.Samuels, A topology from a given topology and ideal, J. London Math. Soc., (2)(10)(1975), 409-416.
- [20] M.H.Stone, Applications of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 41(1937), 375-481.
- [21] S.Takigawa and H.Maki, Every nonempty open set of the digital n-space is expressible as the union of finitely many nonempty regular open sets, Sci. Math. Jpn., 67(2008), 365-376.
- [22] V.Zaitsev, On certain classes of topological spaces and their bicompactifications, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, 178(1968), 778-779.